TULSA METROPOLITAN AREA PLANNING COMMISSION  
Meeting No. 2656  
August 21, 2013, 1:30 PM  
175 East 2nd Street, 2nd Level, One Technology Center  
Tulsa City Council Chamber

CONSIDER, DISCUSS AND/OR TAKE ACTION ON:

Call to Order:

REPORTS:

Chairman's Report:

Worksession Report:

Director's Report:
- Proposed Revisions to the Fee Schedule

1. Minutes of August 7, 2013, Meeting No. 2655

CONSENT AGENDA:

All matters under "Consent" are considered by the Planning Commission to be routine and will be enacted by one motion. Any Planning Commission member may, however, remove an item by request.

2. LC-514 (Lot-Combination) (County) – Location: Northwest corner of East 136th Street South and South 123rd East Avenue

3. QuikTrip 0041 Addition – Final Plat, Location: Northwest corner of I-44/Skelly Drive and South Harvard Avenue (9329) (CD-9)

4. BancFirst 56th & Lewis Addition – Partial Vacation of Plat and Termination of Deed of Dedication and Restrictive Covenants – Union Bank Addition, Location: North of northeast corner of East 61st Street South and South Lewis Avenue (9332) (CD-9)

CONSIDERATION OF ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA:
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN PUBLIC HEARINGS

5. CPA-5 – Northwest Passage - Application to consider adoption of the amendments to the Land Use Map in the Tulsa Comprehensive Plan (CD-1) (Continued from July 24, 2013)

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

6. Z-7237 – Tulsa Engineering & Planning Assoc., Inc./Tim Terral/Northwest Passage. Location: Five parcels of land on the north and south side of the Gilcrease Parkway between North 41st West Avenue and North Osage Drive. All the parcels are in Osage County within the City Limits of Tulsa, Requesting rezoning from AG/RS-3/RM-1 to CS, (CD-1) (Related to Z-7238 and PUD-624-A) (Continued from July 24, 2013)

7. Z-7238 - Tulsa Engineering & Planning Assoc., Inc./Tim Terral/Northwest Passage. Location: Southwest corner of Gilcrease Parkway and North Osage Drive, Requesting rezoning from RM-1 to OM, (CD-1) (Related to Z-7237 and PUD-624-A) (Continued from July 24, 2013)

8. PUD-624-A - Tulsa Engineering & Planning Assoc., Inc./Tim Terral/Northwest Passage. Location: Between North 41st West Avenue and North Osage Drive, north of West Apache Street/West Young Street, Requesting a Major Amendment for proposed boundary changes due to the realignment of the Gilcrease Parkway, from AG/RS-3/RM-1/OL/CS/PUD-624 to AG/RS-3/RM-1/OL/CS/PUD-624-A, (CD-1) (Related to Z-7237 and Z-7238) (Continued from July 24, 2013)

9. LS-20621 (Lot-Split) (County) – Location: North of the northeast corner of East 126th Street North and North 143rd East Avenue

10. LS-20631 (Lot-Split) (CD-8) – Location: North of the northwest corner of East 121st Street South and South Sheridan Road (withdrawn)

11. Henry Orthodontics – Preliminary Plat, Location: South of the southeast corner of South Harvard Avenue and 41st Street South (9328) (CD-9)

12. Inland Truck Parts – Preliminary Plat, Location: South of East Admiral Place, east of South 129th East Avenue (9404) (CD-6)

13. Z-7222 – Plat Waiver, Location: West of the northwest corner of East 21st Street South and 177th East Avenue (9411) (CD-6)

15. **PUD-797 – Lou Reynolds/CAP/Mayo School Conversion.** Location: South of southeast corner of South 101st East Avenue and East 25th Street, Requesting a PUD to convert the existing school and build an additional building for office uses, **RS-3/CDP-21 to OL/PUD-797, (CD-5)** (Continued from July 10, 2013)

**OTHER BUSINESS**

Commissioners' Comments

**ADJOURN**

CD = Council District

**NOTE:** If you require special accommodation pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, please notify INCOG (918) 584-7526. Exhibits, Petitions, Pictures, etc., presented to the Planning Commission may be received and deposited in case files to be maintained at Land Development Services, INCOG. Ringing/sound on all cell phones and pagers must be turned off during the Planning Commission.

Visit our website at [www.tmapc.org](http://www.tmapc.org)

**TMAPC Mission Statement:** The Mission of the Tulsa Metropolitan Area Planning Commission (TMAPC) is to provide unbiased advice to the City Council and the County Commissioners on development and zoning matters, to provide a public forum that fosters public participation and transparency in land development and planning, to adopt and maintain a comprehensive plan for the metropolitan area, and to provide other planning, zoning and land division services that promote the harmonious development of the Tulsa Metropolitan Area and enhance and preserve the quality of life for the region’s current and future residents.
Item for discussion: Proposed Revisions to the Fee Schedule

Background: The Tulsa Metropolitan Area Planning Commission (TMAPC), the Tulsa City Council and the Tulsa County Commission establish application fees for the land development processes. Fee schedules for both the TMAPC and Board of Adjustment (BOA) have not changed since 2002.

There are several reasons that some changes are necessary to the fee schedule at this time. There are new processes that are being or have been created that warrant fees, such as the Comprehensive Plan amendment application process, Form-based Code administrative review process and the new process created (for the BOA) through the proposed “Demolition of Buildings and Surface Parking Lots in the IDL” ordinance. There are also existing fees that need to be adjusted to more accurately match the cost of staff time involved and/or do not relatively match those of other comparable cities.

The attached table lists the proposed new fees and changes to existing fees, and includes justifications for the fee changes.

Staff Recommendation: Discuss and set hearing date for Resolution to adopt revised fee schedule (September 4, 2013).
## Proposed Revisions to Fee Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application Type</th>
<th>Proposed Fee</th>
<th>Justification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demolition of buildings in IDL (BOA)</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>A new fee is necessary for the process established in the new (proposed) ordinance to demolish buildings in the IDL. $500 is proposed &amp; is consistent with a Special Exception Non-Residential Use. Processing such an application will require extensive coordination from multiple City departments. Application fee to demolish buildings in downtown OKC is $500.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Plan amendment application (TMAPC)</td>
<td>$200.00 (+ $75.00 fee for newspaper notice)</td>
<td>A Comprehensive Plan amendment process and application was established earlier this year. Recommended fees are similar to those in charged in the City of Broken Arrow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form-Based Code Administrative Review</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>Although never formally adopted, an administrative review fee of $200 was established upon submittal of the first application for Form-Based Code Administrative review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Application base fee (TMAPC)</td>
<td>$5000.00</td>
<td>Currently, there is no maximum application fee for TMAPC related applications. Because of the sliding fee structure of applications, the cost can add up and outweigh the staff work required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Revision to Alternative Compliance Landscape Plan</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>Currently there is no fee for a Minor Revision to Alternative Compliance Landscape Plans. For any revision to an Alternative Compliance Landscape Plan, an applicant must pay $150 which is cost of a new plan submittal. The $50 fee proposed is same as proposed fee for Minor Revisions to PUD &amp; CO Detail Site Plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee Type</td>
<td>Current Fee</td>
<td>Proposed Fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access point(s) changed on recorded plat</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension of a Preliminary or Final Plat</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**City and County Board of Adjustment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fee Type</th>
<th>Current Fee</th>
<th>Proposed Fee</th>
<th>Justification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appeal of Decision of Administrative Official</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td>Staff work involved in processing an Appeal of a Decision of an Administrative Official is similar to Variance and Special Exception. OKC charges a fee of $450 for Appeals for Administrative Decisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOA Agenda Fee (to place an item on agenda for which no fee is established)</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>An increase to $50 more accurately captures cost of staff time and resources for an additional agenda item.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Zoning Related Fees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fee Type</th>
<th>Current Fee</th>
<th>Proposed Fee</th>
<th>Justification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zoning Letters</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>An increase to $50 more accurately captures cost of staff time for this work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoning Letters within a PUD/ CO</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>An increase to $75 more accurately captures cost of staff time and research for this work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMAPC Agenda Fee (to place an item on agenda for which no fee is established)</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>An increase to $50 more accurately captures cost of staff time and resources for an additional agenda item.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUD &amp; CO Minor Revision to Detail Site Plan</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>An increase to $50 more accurately captures cost of staff time for this work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUD &amp; CO Sign Plan</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$200.00-up to 2 signs $25.00 each additional sign</td>
<td>Currently a cost of $100 covers a plan that could include multiple signs. $200 fee would match that of a PUD &amp; CO Detail Site Plan. The amount of tedious staff work involved and research in this review increases significantly as this number increases.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Quik Trip 0041 Addition - (9329) (CD 9)
Northwest corner of I-44/Skelly Drive and South Harvard Avenue

This plat consists of 1 Lot, 1 Block, on 2.8 acres.

Staff has received release letters for this plat and can recommend approval of the final plat.
August 8, 2013

Diane Fernandez  
Tulsa Metropolitan Area Planning Commission  
2 West Second Street  
Tulsa, OK

Re: Union Bank Addition

Dear Ms. Fernandez:

With this letter, I am delivering to you the Partial Vacation of Plat and Termination of Deed of Dedication and Restrictive Covenants – Union Bank Addition that was approved by Janine Van Valkenburg. The document has been executed by the property owner and is being delivered to you for execution by the Tulsa Metropolitan Area Planning Commission. After it has been executed by TMAPC, please email or call me, and I will have it picked up for delivery to the City of Tulsa.

This is being done in conjunction with a replat of the property as part of BancFirst 56th & Lewis Addition. If you have any questions, please call me at your earliest convenience. I can be reached by phone at 918-747-8900 or by email at jsnider@ellerdetrich.com.

Thank you for your courtesy and cooperation.

Very truly yours,

Jerry M. Snider

JMS/ps
Enclosure
PARTIAL VACATION OF PLAT AND TERMINATION OF DEED OF DEDICATION AND RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS
UNION BANK ADDITION
[PLAT NO. 5791]

THIS PARTIAL VACATION OF PLAT AND TERMINATION OF DEED OF DEDICATION AND RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS, UNION BANK ADDITION, PLAT NO. 5791 ["Vacation"] is dated this _____ day of ________________, 2013.

WHEREAS the Plat and Deed of Dedication of UNION BANK ADDITION was recorded in the office of the Tulsa County Clerk as Plat No. 5791 and as Document #04081667 on July 8, 2004, and

WHEREAS the undersigned BANCFIRST, an Oklahoma banking corporation, successor by merger to Union Bank of Chandler, is the sole and only owner of all of the land included in the Plat of UNION BANK ADDITION, none of the lots having been sold or conveyed, and

WHEREAS it is in the best interests of the owner of the property affected thereby to vacate all of the Plat of UNION BANK ADDITION, Less and Except for that part dedicated as right-of-way for South Lewis Avenue, as provided below in order to allow the subject land to be re-platted with additional land for development in accordance with the terms of a new plat to be named BancFirst 56th & Lewis Addition.

NOW, THEREFORE pursuant to 11 O.S. 2001 §42-106, the undersigned, being the owner of all of the land situated in UNION BANK ADDITION agrees that the plat and Deed of Dedication of UNION BANK ADDITION is hereby vacated and held for naught insofar as it affects the following described land:

All of UNION BANK ADDITION, a Subdivision in the City of Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the Recorded Plat No. 5791, LESS AND EXCEPT that part thereof dedicated as Right-of-Way for South Lewis Avenue, also described as follows:

Part of the North Half of the Northwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter (N/2 NW/4 SW/4) of Section Thirty-two (32), Township Nineteen (19) North, Range Thirteen (13) East of the Indian Base and Meridian, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the United States Government Survey thereof, being more particularly described as follows, to-wit: BEGINNING at a point 660 feet South of the Northwest corner of the Northwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter (NW/4 SW/4); thence East 300 feet; thence North 121 feet; thence West 300 feet; thence South 121 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING, LESS AND EXCEPT the West 50.00 feet thereof.
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FURTHER, this instrument terminates and vacates all of the building and use restrictive covenants, setback lines, and easements provided for in the Deed of Dedication and Restrictive Covenants recorded with Plat No. 5791 and as Document #04081667, except the Right-of-Way for South Lewis Avenue.

Attached hereto and incorporated by reference is the Affidavit of Guaranty Abstract Company showing the ownership of the lots that comprise UNION BANK ADDITION.

The undersigned has executed this instrument the day and year first above written to be effective as of the date of recording of the plat of BancFirst 56th & Lewis Addition.

BANCFIRST, an Oklahoma banking corporation, successor by merger to Union Bank of Chandler,

By: 

Name: 
Title: President

STATE OF OKLAHOMA )
COUNTY OF Tulsa ) ss

This instrument was acknowledged before me, a Notary Public, on this 26 day of July, 2013, by Robert E. List as President of BancFirst, an Oklahoma banking corporation, successor by merger to Union Bank of Chandler.

KAREN RICHARDSON
NOTARY PUBLIC

My commission expires:

Commission No. 08005342

5/21/14
On this _________ day of ______________, 2013, the Tulsa Metropolitan Area Planning Commission expressly acknowledges, consents and approves of the Partial Vacation of Plat and Termination of Deed of Dedication and Restrictive Covenants of UNION BANK ADDITION, Plat No. 5791.

___________________________________________
Chairman of the TMAPC

On this _________ day of ______________, 2013, the City of Tulsa expressly acknowledges, consents and approves of the Partial Vacation of Plat and Termination of Deed of Dedication and Restrictive Covenants of UNION BANK ADDITION, Plat No. 5791.

___________________________________________
Chairman of the Council

Approved this _____ day of ______________, 2013,

By: _______________________________________
Mayor

(Seal)
ATTEST:

___________________________________________
City Clerk

APPROVED:

___________________________________________
City Attorney
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN LAND USE MAP AMENDMENT REQUESTS
Northwest Passage (CPA-5)

I. PROPERTY INFORMATION AND LAND USE REQUEST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Request 1:</th>
<th>Request 2:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Existing Land Use:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Existing Land Use:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Neighborhood (25.8 ac.)</td>
<td>New Neighborhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Center (96.94)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed Land Use:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Proposed Land Use:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Center</td>
<td>Employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Location:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N &amp; S of the Gilcrease Parkway, from N. 41st W. Ave. to the SE corner of Gilcrease Parkway and N. Gilcrease Museum Rd</td>
<td>SW corner of Osage Dr and Gilcrease Parkway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Size:</strong> approx. 122.74 acres</td>
<td><strong>Size:</strong> approx. 23.21 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staff Recommendation:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Staff Recommendation:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approval</td>
<td>Denial Approval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Background

The requested land use amendments are concurrent with rezoning applications Z-7237 and Z-7238, and a PUD major amendment application (PUD-624-A). There are two different land use amendment requests in this application. This staff report provides a summary of both requests and staff recommendations.

Northwest Passage (a total of approx. 661 acres) was approved for a mix of uses in PUD-624 in February, 2000 under the previous Comprehensive Plan. The PUD included various uses: single-family, multifamily, commercial, open space, sports complex, community center, daycare, senior care, and school campus. Since that time, the only development that has occurred is single family, located in the southern portion of the PUD. The portions of the PUD subject to the Comprehensive Plan amendment requests remain undeveloped.

When the new Tulsa Comprehensive Plan was developed and adopted in 2010, this area was designated as an Area of Growth:

"The purpose of Areas of Growth is to direct the allocation of resources and channel growth to where it will be beneficial and can best improve access to jobs, housing, and services with fewer and shorter auto trips. Areas of Growth are parts of the city where general agreement exists that development or
redevelopment is beneficial. As steps are taken to plan for, and, in some cases, develop or redevelop these areas, ensuring that existing residents will not be displaced is a high priority. A major goal is to increase economic activity in the area to benefit existing residents and businesses, and where necessary, provide the stimulus to redevelop.

Areas of Growth are found throughout Tulsa. These areas have many different characteristics but some of the more common traits are close proximity to or abutting an arterial street, major employment and industrial areas, or areas of the city with an abundance of vacant land. Also, several of the Areas of Growth are in or near downtown. Areas of Growth provide Tulsa with the opportunity to focus growth in a way that benefits the City as a whole. Development in these areas will provide housing choice and excellent access to efficient forms of transportation including walking, biking, transit, and the automobile.”

The *Neighborhood Center* and *New Neighborhood* land use designations were assigned to the area subject to the amendment requests at the time of the adoption of the Tulsa Comprehensive Plan in 2010:

**"Neighborhood Centers"** are small-scale, one to three story mixed-use areas intended to serve nearby neighborhoods with retail, dining, and services. They can include apartments, condominiums, and townhouses, with small lot single family homes at the edges. These are pedestrian-oriented places served by transit, and visitors who drive can park once and walk to number of destinations.”

**"The New Neighborhood"** Residential Building Block is comprised of a plan category by the same name. It is intended for new communities developed on vacant land. These neighborhoods are comprised primarily of single-family homes on a range of lot sizes, but can include townhouses and low-rise apartments or condominiums. These areas should be designed to meet high standards of internal and external connectivity, and shall be paired with an existing or new Neighborhood or Town Center.”

B. Land Use Designations

The applicant is proposing to introduce two new land uses on this site as part of the amendment requests: *Town Center* and *Employment*.

**"Town Centers"** are medium-scale, one to five story mixed-use areas intended to serve a larger area of neighborhoods than Neighborhood centers, with retail, dining, and services and employment. They can include apartments, condominiums, and townhouses with small lot single family homes at the edges. A Town Center also may contain offices that employ nearby residents. Town centers also serve as the main transit hub for surrounding neighborhoods, and
can include plazas and squares for markets and events. These are pedestrian-oriented centers designed so visitors can park once and walk to number of destinations.”

“Employment areas contain office, warehousing, light manufacturing and high tech uses such as clean manufacturing or information technology. Sometimes big-box retail or warehouse retail clubs are found in these areas. These areas are distinguished from mixed-use centers in that they have few residences and typically have more extensive commercial activity.”

Employment areas require access to major arterials or interstates. Those areas, with manufacturing and warehousing uses must be able to accommodate extensive truck traffic, and rail in some instances. Due to the special transportation requirements of these districts, attention to design, screening and open space buffering is necessary when employment districts are near other districts that include moderate residential use.”

C. Zoning and Surrounding Uses:

**Request 1: New Neighborhood and Neighborhood Center to Town Center**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Existing Zoning</th>
<th>Existing Land Use Designation</th>
<th>Existing Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>Unincorporated Osage County</td>
<td>Gilcrease Parkway r-o-w, scattered residential and agricultural uses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>AG, RS-3/PUD-624, RM-1/PUD-624, CS/PUD-624</td>
<td>New Neighborhood, Neighborhood Center,</td>
<td>vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>RS-3/PUD-624, RM-1/PUD-624, CS/PUD-624</td>
<td>New Neighborhood</td>
<td>vacant/conservation area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>AG, RS-3/PUD-624, RM-1/PUD-624</td>
<td>Neighborhood Center, New Neighborhood</td>
<td>scattered residential and agricultural uses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Request 2: New Neighborhood to Employment Center

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Zoning</th>
<th>Existing Land Use Designation</th>
<th>Existing Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>AG</td>
<td>Unincorporated Osage County</td>
<td>Gilcrease Parkway r-o-w scattered residential and agricultural uses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>RS-3/PUD-624,</td>
<td>New Neighborhood</td>
<td>vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RM-1/PUD-624</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>CS, IL</td>
<td>New Neighborhood</td>
<td>Osage Drive, 44 acre site under construction for an industrial use (Use Unit 25 - welding shop)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>RS-3/PUD-624</td>
<td>New Neighborhood</td>
<td>vacant/conservation area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Applicant’s Justification:

As part of the amendment application, the applicant is asked to justify their amendment request. Specifically, they are asked to provide a written justification to address:

1. how conditions on the subject site have changed, as well as those on adjacent properties and immediate area;
2. how changes have impacted the subject site to warrant the proposed amendment; and
3. how the proposed change will enhance the surrounding area and the City of Tulsa.

The applicant provided the following justification as part of their application:

“The proposed Comprehensive Plan Amendment has been brought about due to boundary changes to the PUD this request affects and more importantly, changes to the alignment of the Gilcrease Expressway, which bisects the northern portion of the affected PUD (Northwest Passage- PUD 624). The current alignment of the Gilcrease Expressway has shifted south from its original alignment and has created a substantial change in the amount of developable area available on the north side of the Gilcrease Expressway. The PUD now has a significant amount of frontage on both sides of the Gilcrease Expressway, which lends itself to higher intensity types of development in this area of the PUD. There is approximately 5,420 LF of frontage along the north side of the Gilcrease Expressway and over 7,280 LF of frontage on the south side. This frontage will be provided access by the proposed service roads for the expressway. The Tulsa Comprehensive Plan’s “Growth and Stability Plan” shows the entire PUD as an “Area of Growth” and while the Land Use Plan designates a portion of the Gilcrease Expressway frontage area as “Neighborhood Center”, and the remainder as “New
Neighborhood”, it is felt that a higher mixed use intensity is warranted and needed for this and the surrounding area. The Comprehensive Plan Amendment requests Town Center and Employment Land Uses along the majority of the Gilcrease Expressway frontage (see Exhibit ‘A’ – Comprehensive Plan Amendment). There are 122.74 acres, divided into four separate tracts, of “Town Center” and 23.21 acres allocated to one tract of “Employment” land uses. The remaining portion of the PUD fronting on the Gilcrease Expressway is part of a Conservation Easement and devoted to Open Spaces.

There are a number of benefits in developing these areas along the Gilcrease Expressway at a higher intensity use. In addition to creating a buffer for the residential uses and shifting these uses away from the Gilcrease Expressway, the economic stimulus that would be provided by these proposed higher intensity uses would have a positive impact on the immediate and surrounding areas, in terms of new jobs created and sales tax revenues and new demand for housing."

E. Staff Response:

Request 1: New Neighborhood and Neighborhood Center to Town Center

Conditions relating to the subject site (since the PUD was approved in 2000) have changed based on the realignment of the Gilcrease Parkway. Initially when the PUD was approved and land use designations assigned to the site, the alignment for the Gilcrease Parkway formed the northern boundary of the site. With the adoption of the Tulsa Comprehensive Plan in 2010, Neighborhood Center designations were assigned to the northwestern portion of the PUD, which was on the edge of the New Neighborhood area at that time.

The change in the alignment of the Gilcrease Parkway has impacted the northwestern portion of the subject site to warrant the amendment request from Neighborhood Center to Town Center. Once the alignment of the Gilcrease Parkway moved further south, this portion of the subject site was bisected. Because of its significant frontage to the north and south of the Gilcrease Parkway, and resulting isolated properties to the northwest, the smaller scale Neighborhood Center designation is no longer appropriate. The Town Center designation exists along and straddles many of the expressways in the City of Tulsa and would be appropriate in this situation.

The proposed amendment from “Neighborhood Center” to “Town Center” will contribute to the surrounding area by providing commercial opportunities in a location of the City where they are currently lacking. The Tulsa Comprehensive Plan supports this land use as stated in Land Use Priority 3 in the Comprehensive Plan (p. LU 81):
“Goal 9 - Tulsa North’s economy is at least as robust, sustainable and as stable as the remainder of Tulsa’s economy”

Comprehensive Plan Policy 9.1 (p. LU 81) states the need to focus planning and reinvestment in the Tulsa North area to provide opportunities for citizens and businesses to improve economic stability. A Town Center in the proposed location could support these opportunities in the area.

**Request 2: New Neighborhood to Employment Center**

This area subject to the Employment land use designation request was assigned a New Neighborhood designation at the time of adoption of the Tulsa Comprehensive Plan in 2010. Unlike the area subject to the Town Center request on the northwestern portion of the PUD, the Gilcrease Parkway alignment did not change on this (the northeastern portion) of the PUD.

Several factors have been identified that do not support an Employment land use designation at this location. The steep terrain of this site (see photos on page 7 & 8) contributes to the natural beauty and character of the area. Comprehensive Plan Policy 9.2 (p. LU 82) states the need to enhance the quality of the built and natural environment in North Tulsa. The location of the proposed “Employment” designation would not enhance this PUD, rather it would utilize an important natural feature for industrial space, rather than for quality residential uses. Adding a component of industrial character to Northwest Passage would not enhance the economic viability and quality of life of residents and businesses in the area. **However, since the applicant has amended the associated rezoning of the site for office uses, rather than industrial uses, an Employment land use designation can be supported.**

*Employment* areas, as defined in the Comprehensive Plan, require access to major arterials or interstates. The Major Street and Highway Plan (MSHP) designates the segment of Gilcrease that forms the northern boundary of the site as a Parkway. Osage Drive, which forms the eastern boundary of the site is classified as a Secondary Arterial in the MSHP. Another transportation issue related to this request is that access into the site by industrial traffic (truck traffic) could be hindered by the steep terrain.

There does not appear to be any direct relationship or resulting negative impacts of the existing Employment designation to the north and the IL zoning to the east to this site because of the significant separation. When the 44 acre IL zoned property to the east of the site across Osage Drive was rezoned in 2011, it was noted that an industrial use on the 44 acre site would not negatively impact the surrounding residential areas because of the separation. Therefore, there is no need to create additional employment uses on the subject site to buffer from the adjacent industrial site.
SITE PHOTOS

Looking south at the site
(area subject to the Employment land use designation request)
Heading west on Gilcrease Parkway - subject site to the south (left)
II. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends APPROVAL of request 1: *New Neighborhood* and *Neighborhood Center* to *Town Center*

Staff recommends DENIAL APPROVAL of request 2: *New Neighborhood* to *Employment Center*
TULSA METROPOLITAN AREA PLANNING COMMISSION
CASE REPORT

APPLICATION: Z-7237

TRS 0221/ 0222
CZM 27/ 28

Atlas 0
CD-1

TMAPC Hearing Date: July 24, 2013
(Continued to August 21, 2013)

Tract Size: 82+ acres

ADDRESS/GENERAL LOCATION: 5 parcels of land on the north and south side of the
Gilcrease Parkway between North 41st West Avenue
and North Osage Drive. All the parcels are in Osage
County within the City Limits of Tulsa.

EXISTING ZONING: AG/RS-3/RM-1
EXISTING USE: Vacant/residential

PROPOSED ZONING: CS
PROPOSED USE: Commercial

ZONING ORDINANCE: Ordinance number 19753 dated February 18, 2000; 11918 dated
September 1, 1970, established zoning for the subject property.

RELEVANT ZONING HISTORY:

PUD-624 February 2000: All concurred in approval of a proposed Planned Unit Development
on a 661+ acre tract of land for a mixed use development including single-family, multifamily,
commercial, open space, sports complex, community center, daycare, senior care, and school
campus, on property located on the north side of West Apache Street, west of Osage Drive
and extending north and extending west to 41st West Avenue, also known as the subject tract.

PUD-565 July 1997: Staff and TMAPC recommended approval of the Planned Unit
Development (Northwest Passage), for a mixed use development which included single-family
residential, townhomes, apartments, commercial development and a golf course, subject to
conditions and the deletion of Use Unit 12A. The file was transmitted to City Council for final
action; however, the applicant requested that the PUD be put on hold. After a year, of being on
hold, City Council sent it back to staff and applicant withdrew the application, on the subject
tract.

BOA-14308 November 20, 1986: The Board of Adjustment approved a Special Exception to
allow for a portable ready-mix concrete batch plant, on a temporary basis in a CS district;
subject to a time limit of 1 year, on property located at the northeast corner of West Apache
Street and North Osage Drive.
AREA DESCRIPTION:

SITE ANALYSIS: The subject properties total approximately 82+ acres in size and are located north and south of the Gilcrease Parkway between North 41st West Avenue and North Osage Drive. The properties are all wooded with significant terrain considerations. All are undeveloped and are currently zoned AG, RS-3 and RM-1.

SURROUNDING AREA: The subject parcels are generally surrounded by large lot residential properties which are zoned AG or RS-3 however at least one residential multifamily (RM-1) tract exist west of the proposed extension for Gilcrease Museum Road. A large part of the RM-1 zoning is taken by the parkway right-of-way interchange. The north edge of Tracts 1, 3 and 4 are on the boundaries of the Tulsa City Limits.

UTILITIES: The subject tract has municipal water and sewer available for access.

TRANSPORTATION VISION: The Comprehensive Plan designates Gilcrease Parkway as a parkway with a minimum right-of-way width that is much smaller than the current ownership taken by the City of Tulsa. Ultimately the transportation vision for this area, as shown in the Comprehensive Plan, defines the "Gilcrease Expressway" as a freight corridor which will help disentangle the freight and commuter corridor systems in Tulsa.

The Transportation section of the Comprehensive Plan; Goal 10, identifies the Gilcrease corridor as "an important element to the future economic growth and development of north and west Tulsa.

STREETS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exist. Access</th>
<th>MSHP Design</th>
<th>MSHP R/W</th>
<th>Exist. # Lanes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North 41st West Avenue</td>
<td>Secondary Arterial</td>
<td>100’</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilcrease Parkway</td>
<td>Parkway</td>
<td>150’</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RELATIONSHIP TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:

The subject tracts are a mix of Neighborhood Center and New Neighborhood areas however the Comprehensive Plan did not recognize the large parcels that were affected by the Gilcrease Parkway.

A Comprehensive Plan Amendment has been submitted concurrently with this zoning application changing the vision for all of these parcels to be considered as a Town Center.

Staff has recommended approval for the Town Center designation for these areas therefore we have analyzed this zoning request from the Town Center Perspective.

Town Centers are medium-scale, one to five story mixed-use areas intended to serve a larger area of neighborhoods than Neighborhood Centers, with retail, dining, and services and employment. They can include apartments, condominiums, and townhouses with small lot single family homes at the edges. A Town Center also may contain offices that employ nearby residents. Town centers also serve as the main transit hub for surrounding neighborhoods,
and can include plazas and squares for markets and events. These are pedestrian-oriented centers designed so visitors can park once and walk to number of destinations.

Staff Comment: The requested CS zoning can be supported as an underlying zoning designation inside a PUD. The CS zoning request is not appropriate unless the anticipated approval for Town Center designation is approved.

All parcels are included in an area of growth:

The purpose of Areas of Growth is to direct the allocation of resources and channel growth to where it will be beneficial and can best improve access to jobs, housing, and services with fewer and shorter auto trips. Areas of Growth are parts of the city where general agreement exists that development or redevelopment is beneficial. As steps are taken to plan for, and, in some cases, develop or redevelop these areas, ensuring that existing residents will not be displaced is a high priority. A major goal is to increase economic activity in the area to benefit existing residents and businesses, and where necessary, provide the stimulus to redevelop.

Areas of Growth are found throughout Tulsa. These areas have many different characteristics but some of the more common traits are close proximity to or abutting an arterial street, major employment and industrial areas, or areas of the city with an abundance of vacant land. Also, several of the Areas of Growth are in or near downtown. Areas of Growth provide Tulsa with the opportunity to focus growth in a way that benefits the City as a whole. Development in these areas will provide housing choice and excellent access to efficient forms of transportation including walking, biking, transit, and the automobile.

Staff Comment: The proposed CS zoning and Comprehensive Plan revisions are all consistent with the Area of Growth Vision for the area.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The CS zoning request will be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan only if the Comprehensive Plan amendments as submitted concurrently with this request is approved.

The future vision of the Gilcrease corridor is defined in the Comprehensive Plan as an important element for growth in north and west Tulsa. CS zoning along the corridor is consistent with that vision.

The Parkway designation is an important consideration of this CS zoning request. The requested zoning is an underlying request for a major amendment to the PUD that can enhance the environmental character of this part of Tulsa. The ultimate development of this area should be sensitive to the natural environment of this area.

The zoning request is consistent with the proposed Comprehensive Plan amendments and anticipated future development of this area.

Therefore staff recommends approval for CS zoning on all 5 parcels along the Gilcrease Parkway in conjunction with the PUD overlay and Comprehensive Plan amendments.

08.21.2013
Z-7237 (TRACT 1) LAND USE PLAN: NEW NEIGHBORHOOD & NEIGHBORHOOD CENTER

Z-7237 (TRACT 2) LAND USE PLAN: NEW NEIGHBORHOOD & NEIGHBORHOOD CENTER

Z-7237 (TRACT 3) LAND USE PLAN: NEW NEIGHBORHOOD & NEIGHBORHOOD CENTER

Z-7237 (TRACT 4) LAND USE PLAN: NEIGHBORHOOD CENTER

Z-7237 (TRACT 5) LAND USE PLAN: NEW NEIGHBORHOOD

Land Use Plan Categories:
- Downtown
- Downtown Neighborhood
- Main Street
- Mixed-Use Corridor
- Regional Center
- Town Center
- Neighborhood Center
- Employment
- Existing Neighborhood
- Open Space
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TMAPC Hearing Date:
Originally advertised for IL zoning 7.24.2013
Re-advertised for OM zoning 8.21.2013

Applicant: TEP Assoc., Inc./ Tim Terral
Tract Size: 10+ acres

ADDRESS/GENERAL LOCATION: Southwest corner of Gilcrease Parkway and North Osage Drive

EXISTING ZONING: RM-1
EXISTING USE: Vacant

PROPOSED ZONING: OM
PROPOSED USE: Office

ZONING ORDINANCE: Ordinance number 19753 dated February 18, 2000; 11918 dated September 1, 1970, established zoning for the subject property.

RELEVANT ZONING HISTORY:

Subject Property:

PUD-624 February 2000: All concurred in approval of a proposed Planned Unit Development on a 661+ acre tract of land for a mixed use development including single-family, multifamily, commercial, open space, sports complex, community center, daycare, senior care, and school campus, on property located on the north side of West Apache Street, west of Osage Drive and extending north and extending west to 41st West Avenue, also known as the subject tract.

PUD-565 July 1997: Staff and TMAPC recommended approval of the Planned Unit Development (Northwest Passage), for a mixed use development which included single-family residential, townhomes, apartments, commercial development and a golf course, subject to conditions and the deletion of Use Unit 12A. The file was transmitted to City Council for final action; however, the applicant requested that the PUD be put on hold. After a year, of being on hold, City Council sent it back to staff and applicant withdrew the application, on the subject tract.

BOA-14308 November 20, 1986: The Board of Adjustment approved a Special Exception to allow for a portable ready-mix concrete batch plant, on a temporary basis in a CS district; subject to a time limit of 1 year, on property located at the northeast corner of West Apache Street and North Osage Drive.
Surrounding Property:

Z-7189 February 2012: All concurred in denial of a request for rezoning a 44+ acre tract of land from CS to IM, but approval of IL zoning for manufacturing use, on property located on the northeast corner of North Osage Drive and West Apache Street, and is east of subject property across North Osage Drive.

Area Description:

Site Analysis: The subject property is approximately 10+ acres in size and is located southwest corner of Gilcrease Parkway and North Osage Drive. The property appears to be vacant, and is zoned RM-1.

Surrounding Area: The subject tract is abutted on the east by Osage Drive, zoned IL; on the north by the Gilcrease Parkway then across the parkway mostly undeveloped property, zoned AG; on the south by vacant land, zoned RM-1; and on the west by vacant land, zoned RS-3.

Utilities: The subject tract has municipal water and sewer available for access.

Transportation Vision:

The Comprehensive Plan designates Gilcrease Parkway as a parkway with a minimum right-of-way width that is much smaller than the current ownership taken by the City of Tulsa. Ultimately the transportation vision for this area, as shown in the Comprehensive Plan, defines the "Gilcrease Expressway" as a freight corridor which will help disentangle the freight and commuter corridor systems in Tulsa.

The Transportation section of the Comprehensive Plan; Goal 10, identifies the Gilcrease corridor as "an important element to the future economic growth and development of north and west Tulsa.

There are no special considerations for Osage Drive which defines the east boundary of the Industrial request.

Streets:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exist. Access</th>
<th>MSHP Design</th>
<th>MSHP R/W</th>
<th>Exist. # Lanes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gilcrease Parkway</td>
<td>Parkway</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Osage Drive</td>
<td>Secondary Arterial</td>
<td>100’</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relationship to the Comprehensive Plan:

The subject tract is located in an Area of Growth and a New Neighborhood.
The New Neighborhood is intended for new communities developed on vacant land. These neighborhoods are comprised primarily of single-family homes on a range of lot sizes, but can include townhouses and low-rise apartments or condominiums. These areas should be designed to meet high standards of internal and external connectivity, and shall be paired with an existing or new Neighborhood or Town Center.

Staff Comment: Concurrent with this re-zoning request is a PUD major amendment and a Comprehensive Plan amendment. At this time there is no immediate project planned for the area. The zoning request is a result of the Gilcrease Parkway first phase of construction that has recently opened.

The purpose of Areas of Growth is to direct the allocation of resources and channel growth to where it will be beneficial and can best improve access to jobs, housing, and services with fewer and shorter auto trips. Areas of Growth are parts of the city where general agreement exists that development or redevelopment is beneficial. As steps are taken to plan for, and, in some cases, develop or redevelop these areas, ensuring that existing residents will not be displaced is a high priority. A major goal is to increase economic activity in the area to benefit existing residents and businesses, and where necessary, provide the stimulus to redevelop.

Areas of Growth are found throughout Tulsa. These areas have many different characteristics but some of the more common traits are close proximity to or abutting an arterial street, major employment and industrial areas, or areas of the city with an abundance of vacant land. Also, several of the Areas of Growth are in or near downtown. Areas of Growth provide Tulsa with the opportunity to focus growth in a way that benefits the City as a whole. Development in these areas will provide housing choice and excellent access to efficient forms of transportation including walking, biking, transit, and the automobile.

Staff Comment regarding concurrent Comprehensive Plan request for an Employment Area

"Employment Areas contain office, warehousing, light manufacturing and high tech uses such as clean manufacturing or information technology. Sometimes big-box retail or warehouse retail clubs are found in these areas. These areas are distinguished from mixed-use centers in that they have few residences and typically have more extensive commercial activity."

Employment areas require access to major arterials or interstates. Those areas, with manufacturing and warehousing uses must be able to accommodate extensive truck traffic, and rail in some instances. Due to the special transportation requirements of these districts, attention to design, screening and open space buffering is necessary when employment districts are near other districts that include moderate residential use."

The employment component of this particular request has been recommended for approval in the comprehensive plan revision only as it relates to the Planned Unit Development request for office, high tech uses, information technology, multi family or other uses allowed by right or exception in the OL zoning district. The staff contends that many industrial uses are not appropriate at this location.
STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

A concurrent request for a revision to The Comprehensive Plan has been submitted for an employment area at this location. This zoning request will be consistent with The Comprehensive Plan only if the requested Plan revision is approved.

The rezoning request is consistent with the anticipated development patterns within the context of a PUD overlay.

The site will require significant terrain modifications and may require access through adjacent property to access the site. Uses at this location will require sensitive treatment for buildings, and vehicular circulation systems.

During the site plan phase of this development significant considerations for the terrain will be an important part of the discussion.

The area is a prime location to establish a high quality gateway to this new development opportunity for north and west Tulsa.

With appropriate consideration for the concurrent Comprehensive Plan amendment and the Planned Unit Development overlay staff is confident that the development of this parcel can be consistent with the expected opportunities for new development in this area of Tulsa and therefore staff recommends approval for the zoning request from RM-1 to OM.

8.21.2013
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TMAPC Hearing Date: July 24, 2013
Continued to 8.21.2013

Applicant: TEP Assoc., Inc./Tim Terral

Tract Size: 500+ acres

ADDRESS/GENERAL LOCATION: Between North 41st West Avenue and North Osage Drive, north of West Apache Street/W. Young St.

EXISTING ZONING: AG/RS-3/RM-1/OL/CS/PUD-624
EXISTING USE: Vacant/residential

PROPOSED ZONING: AG/RS-3/RM-1/OL/CS/IL/PUD-624-A
PROPOSED USE: Mixed use development

ZONING ORDINANCE: Ordinance number 19753 dated February 18, 2000; 11918 dated September 1, 1970, established zoning for the subject property.

RELEVANT ZONING HISTORY:

PUD-624 February 2000: All concurred in approval of a proposed Planned Unit Development on a 661± acre tract of land for a mixed use development including single-family, multifamily, commercial, open space, sports complex, community center, daycare, senior care, and school campus, on property located on the north side of West Apache Street, west of Osage Drive and extending north and extending west to 41st West Avenue, also known as the subject tract.

PUD-565 July 1997: Staff and TMAPC recommended approval of the Planned Unit Development (Northwest Passage), for a mixed use development which included single-family residential, townhomes, apartments, commercial development and a golf course, subject to conditions and the deletion of Use Unit 12A. The file was transmitted to City Council for final action; however, the applicant requested that the PUD be put on hold. After a year, of being on hold, City Council sent it back to staff and applicant withdrew the application, on the subject tract.

BOA-14308 November 20, 1986: The Board of Adjustment approved a Special Exception to allow for a portable ready-mix concrete batch plant, on a temporary basis in a CS district; subject to a time limit of 1 year, on property located at the northeast corner of West Apache Street and North Osage Drive.
AREA DESCRIPTION:

SITE ANALYSIS: The subject property is approximately 500+ acres in size and is located between North 41st West Avenue and North Osage Drive, north of West Apache Street/ W. Young St. The property appears to be mostly vacant with some residential use, and is zoned AG/ RS-3/ RM-1/ OL/ CS/ IL/ PUD-624.

SURROUNDING AREA: The subject tract is abutted on the north by agricultural land with scattered single-family homes in Osage County and out of the Tulsa City limits; to the east is vacant land, with some scattered single-family homes, zoned CS; to the west by scattered single-family homes and ranches, zoned AG; and to the south by single-family homes, zoned RS-3 and vacant land, zoned AG.

UTILITIES: The subject tract has municipal water and sewer available for access.

TRANSPORTATION VISION: The Comprehensive Plan designates Gilcrease Parkway as a parkway with a minimum right-of-way width that is much smaller than the current ownership taken by the City of Tulsa. Ultimately the transportation vision for this area, as shown in the Comprehensive Plan, defines the “Gilcrease Expressway” as a freight corridor which will help disentangle the freight and commuter corridor systems in Tulsa.

The Transportation section of the Comprehensive Plan; Goal 10, identifies the Gilcrease corridor as “an important element to the future economic growth and development of north and west Tulsa.

STREETS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Access</th>
<th>MSHP Design</th>
<th>MSHP R/W</th>
<th>Exist. # Lanes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North 41st West Avenue</td>
<td>Secondary Arterial</td>
<td>100'</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Osage Drive</td>
<td>Secondary Arterial</td>
<td>100'</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Apache Street/ W. Young St.</td>
<td>Primary Arterial</td>
<td>120'</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Gilcrease Museum Road</td>
<td>Secondary Arterial</td>
<td>100'</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilcrease Parkway</td>
<td>Parkway</td>
<td>150'</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RELATIONSHIP TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:

The Comprehensive Plan in this area is not consistent with the proposed development for a number of reasons however the applicant has submitted a concurrent application for a Comprehensive Plan revision.

A large part of the project is consistent with the current Comprehensive Plan and is all New Neighborhood as defined below:

“The New Neighborhood Residential Building Block is comprised of a plan category by the same name. It is intended for new communities developed on
vacant land. These neighborhoods are comprised primarily of single-family homes on a range of lot sizes, but can include townhouses and low-rise apartments or condominiums. These areas should be designed to meet high standards of internal and external connectivity, and shall be paired with an existing or new Neighborhood or Town Center.”

The proposed land use designations in the proposed Comprehensive Plan amendment are outlined below:

The applicant is proposing to introduce two new land uses on this site as part of the amendment requests: *Town Center* and *Employment*.

**“Town Centers** are medium-scale, one to five story mixed-use areas intended to serve a larger area of neighborhoods than Neighborhood centers, with retail, dining, and services and employment. They can include apartments, condominiums, and townhouses with small lot single family homes at the edges. A Town Center also may contain offices that employ nearby residents. Town centers also serve as the main transit hub for surrounding neighborhoods, and can include plazas and squares for markets and events. These are pedestrian-oriented centers designed so visitors can park once and walk to number of destinations.”

**“Employment** areas contain office, warehousing, light manufacturing and high tech uses such as clean manufacturing or information technology. Sometimes big-box retail or warehouse retail clubs are found in these areas. These areas are distinguished from mixed-use centers in that they have few residences and typically have more extensive commercial activity.”

Employment areas require access to major arterials or interstates. Those areas, with manufacturing and warehousing uses must be able to accommodate extensive truck traffic, and rail in some instances. Due to the special transportation requirements of these districts, attention to design, screening and open space buffering is necessary when employment districts are near other districts that include moderate residential use.”

*Staff Comment:* The employment component of this particular request has been recommended for approval in the Comprehensive Plan revision only as it relates to the Planned Unit Development request for office, high tech uses, information technology, multi family or other uses allowed by right or exception in the OM zoning district.

**PUD TEXT SUMMARY**

The applicant has modified the PUD from the original 7.24.2013 hearing date to match the vision of the Comprehensive Plan and to conform closer to the growth vision of the future development of this area.
I. Development Concept  *(Referenced Exhibits are attached at the end of the staff report)*

- Exhibit A - Conceptual Development Plan
- Exhibit B - Comprehensive Plan Overlay
- Exhibit C - Proposed Zoning Plan
- Exhibit D - Surrounding Zoning Plan
- Exhibit E - Conceptual Pedestrian Circulation Plan
- Exhibit F - Existing Conditions Plan
- Exhibit G - Slope Analysis
- Exhibit H - Existing Utilities Plan

II. Statistical Summary

III. Development Standards: Single-Family Residential ‘A’

IV. Development Standards: Single-Family Residential ‘B’

V. Development Standards: Multi-Family

VI. Development Standards: Community Center

VII. Development Standards: Office ‘A’

VIII. Development Standards: Office ‘B’

IX. Development Standards: Commercial

X. Development Standards: Open Space

XI. Landscaping and Open Space

XII. Detail Site Plan Review

XIII. Platting Requirement

XIV. Expected Schedule of Development

I. **DEVELOPMENT CONCEPT**

Northwest Passage is a 499.41 acre mixed use development located north of West Young and Apache Streets, east of 41st West Avenue and west of Osage Drive, with Gilcrease Expressway bisecting the northern portion of the PUD site. The site is characterized by rolling terrain with a number of ridge lines and drainageways located throughout the site. In addition, there is significant tree cover over much of the project area. The site lends itself well to a mixed use PUD that can aid in accommodating the site’s unique features and characteristics with a variety of appropriate land uses.
Originally approved in 1999, PUD 624 reflected a community composed of an assemblage of residential neighborhoods, community facilities, commercial uses and significant open space areas. Two Minor PUD Amendments have been approved since the original 1999 PUD approval. The first Minor Amendment (PUD 624-1) was approved in September 2002 and relocated several land uses on the Conceptual Master Plan and eliminated two land uses approved in the original PUD (Day Care and Sports Complex). While there was a modest increase in commercial floor area, there was a decrease in overall floor area and residential density. The second Minor Amendment (PUD 624-2) was approved in July 2006 and also relocated several land uses on the Conceptual Master Plan and adjusted the Development Standards for the smallest single-family residential lots. As in the first Minor Amendment, there was also a decrease in overall floor area and residential density. The decrease in floor area was a small decrease in the commercial and school site floor areas. These two Minor Amendments were necessitated by changing market conditions and the local economic environment.

This proposed Major Amendment to PUD 624 (PUD 624-A) reflects boundary changes that were brought about due to certain property no longer owned by the developer being removed from consideration and the realignment of the Gilcrease Expressway. The current alignment of the Gilcrease Expressway has shifted south since the last Minor PUD Amendment and created a substantial change in the amount of developable area on the north side of the Gilcrease Expressway. The PUD now has a significant amount of frontage on both sides of the Gilcrease Expressway, which lends itself to higher intensity types of development in this area of Northwest Passage. There is approximately 5,420 LF of frontage along the north side of the Gilcrease Expressway and over 7,280 LF of frontage on the south side. This frontage will be provided access by the proposed service roads for the expressway, except in areas where there might be topographic issues. The Tulsa Comprehensive Plan’s “Growth and Stability Plan” shows the entire PUD as an “Area of Growth” and while the “Land Use Plan” designates a portion of the Gilcrease Expressway frontage area as a “Neighborhood Center”, and the remainder as “New Neighborhood”, it is felt that a higher mixed use intensity is warranted and needed for this and the surrounding area. There are a number of benefits in developing these areas along the Gilcrease Expressway at a higher intensity use. In addition to creating a buffer for the residential uses and shifting these uses away from the Gilcrease Expressway, the economic stimulus that would be provided by these proposed higher intensity uses would have a positive impact on the immediate and surrounding areas, in terms of new jobs created, higher property and sales tax revenues and new demand for housing. The remainder of the PUD remains essentially unchanged, in terms of land uses, except that the school site, located at the northeast corner of the intersection of West Young Street and Gilcrease Museum Road, is now changed to Neighborhood Center, to reflect the current Tulsa Comprehensive Plan.

Accompanying this Major PUD Amendment request is an application for the re-zoning of 80.08 acres of CS (Commercial Shopping) zoning and 10.00 acres of OM (Office Medium Intensity) zoning. There are currently 21.80 acres of existing underlying CS zoning, which would make for a combined total of 101.88 acres of CS zoning. There is no OM zoned property within the PUD at this time. “Exhibit ‘A’ - Conceptual Development Plan” illustrates the proposed locations of the new Commercial/Office and Office Development Areas. All of the proposed Commercial/Office and Office Development Areas front onto the Gilcrease Expressway.
As described in the Tulsa Comprehensive Plan, safe and meaningful interconnectivity and accessibility by both pedestrians and vehicles is very important in a development such as Northwest Passage. "Exhibit 'D' - Conceptual Pedestrian Circulation Plan" illustrates the goal of having pedestrian access between the residential development areas, open space areas, the community center and the commercial/office tracts. This will be accomplished by a combination of walking/jogging/bike trails and an internal/external system of sidewalks. Vehicular ingress and egress into the Northwest Passage will be from a variety of arterial streets and expressway service roads - 41st West Avenue, West Young and Apache Streets, Gilcrease Museum Road and Osage Drive.

It is felt that the proposed Major Amendment to Northwest Passage PUD 624 will aid in bringing the plan up to date and allow for a number of very important and exciting things to begin happening in both the short and long term. Northwest Passage is a development that will take a number of years to complete and there is a high likelihood that other amendments will be brought forward in the years to come to address changing markets and economic conditions.

II. STATISTICAL SUMMARY

TOTAL PROJECT AREA: .................................. 499.41 Acres
  - Single-Family Residential .......................... 241.27 Acres
  - Multi-Family ....................................... 31.68 Acres
  - Neighborhood Center ................................ 13.24 Acres
  - Commercial/Office .................................. 122.74 Acres
  - Office .................................................. 23.21 Acres
  - Community Center .................................... 4.00 Acres
  - Open Space ......................................... 63.27 Acres*

TOTAL NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS: ....................... 2,240 DUs
  - Single Family Residential (6 DU/acre)** .............. 1,448 DUs
  - Multi-Family (25 DU/acre)** ........................... 792 DUs

TOTAL PROJECT FLOOR AREA: ............................ 1,608,889 SF
  - Neighborhood Center (FAR 0.30) ....................... 173,020 SF
  - Commercial/Office (FAR 0.20) ......................... 1,069,311 SF
  - Light Office (FAR 0.22) ............................... 217,800 SF
  - Community Center (FAR 0.10)** ........................ 17,424 SF

* Excludes the open space and landscape area that will be required for the individual Development Areas, as set forth in the Development Standards in Sections III through IX.

** Densities are consistent with PUD 624 and the succeeding Minor Amendments (624-1 and 624-2), other densities shown are less.
III. DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS: SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL ‘A’

Permitted Uses: Detached single-family residences and customary accessory uses (Use Unit 6).
Minimum Lot Width: 60 SF
Minimum Lot Size: 6,900 SF
Minimum Building Setbacks:
- Front Yard 25 feet
- Rear Yard 20 feet
- Side Yards 5 ft./5ft.
- Corner Lot Side Yard 20 feet
Maximum Building Height: 35 feet
Minimum Livability Space per Lot:* 3,500 SF
Other Bulk and Area Requirements: As established within a RS-3 District

* Livability Space as defined by the City of Tulsa Zoning Code is open space not allocated to off street parking or paved access to off-street parking areas. Livability Space per Lot may take into account and utilize common open space to satisfy this requirement, if necessary.

IV. DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS: SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL ‘B’

Permitted Uses: Detached single-family residences and customary accessory uses (Use Unit 6).
Minimum Lot Width: 50 SF
Minimum Lot Size: 5,500 SF
Minimum Building Setbacks:
- Front Yard 20 feet
- Rear Yard 15 feet
- Side Yards 5 ft./5ft. or 0 ft./10 ft.*
- Corner Lot Side Yard 15 feet
Maximum Building Height: 35 feet
Minimum Livability Space per Lot:** 2,000 SF
Other Bulk and Area Requirements: As established within a RS-4 District

* Individual developments will have Side Yards of either 5 ft./5 ft. or 0 ft./10 ft., not a combination the two will be allowed unless approved at the Detail Site Plan/Platting stage.

** Livability Space as defined by the City of Tulsa Zoning Code is open space not allocated to off street parking or paved access to off-street parking areas. Livability Space per Lot may take into account and utilize common open space to satisfy this requirement, if necessary.

V. DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS: MULTI-FAMILY
(Development Areas ‘Q’ and ‘R’)

Permitted Uses: Multi-Family dwellings and customary accessory uses (Use Unit 8).

Maximum Building Height: 45 feet
Maximum Number of Stories: 3
Minimum Building Setbacks:
- From an Arterial Street right-of-way 35 feet
- From a Freeway Service Road right-of-way 35 feet
- From Non-Arterial Street right-of-way 25 feet
- From other Development Area Boundary Lines  20 feet

Minimum Livability Space per Dwelling Unit:*  200 SF

* Livability Space as defined by the City of Tulsa Zoning Code is open space not allocated to off street parking or paved access to off-street parking areas. Livability Space per Dwelling Unit may take into account and utilize common open space to satisfy this requirement, if necessary.

Minimum Off-Street Parking Requirements:  As required by the applicable Use Unit

Other Bulk and Area Requirements:  As established within a RM-1 District

Minimum Landscaped Area:

Landscaping shall meet the requirements of Chapter 10 - Landscape Requirements and Chapter 11 - Planned Unit Development, of the City of Tulsa Zoning Code.

Lighting:

Exterior area lighting shall be limited to shielded fixtures designed to direct light downward and away from adjoining residential properties. No light standard shall exceed 25 feet in height. Lighting shall be so designed that the light producing elements and polished light reflecting elements of exterior lighting fixtures shall not be visible to a person standing within an adjacent residential area. Compliance with Section 1303-C of the City of Tulsa Zoning Code will be by application of the Kennebunkport Formula or other IESNA accepted practice and will be verified at detail site plan review by the submission of a detail lighting plan.

VI. DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS: COMMUNITY CENTER
(Development Area 'L')

Permitted Uses: Residential community center intended for noncommercial use of the residents of the development and may include a principle building and customary recreational facilities (Use Unit 5).

Maximum Building Height:  40 feet

Maximum Number of Stories:  Minimum Building

Setbacks:

- From Non-Arterial Street right-of-way  25 feet
- From other Development Area Boundary Lines  20 feet
- From abutting Residential Areas ...................................... 30 feet

Minimum Off-Street Parking Requirements: As required by the applicable Use Unit

Minimum Landscaped Area: 15% of net lot area

VII. DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS: OFFICE ‘A’

Permitted Uses: Office, Studio and Support Services (Use Unit 11).

Maximum Building Height: 45 feet

Maximum Number of Stories: 3

Minimum Building Setbacks:

- From an Arterial Street right-of-way 50 feet
- From a Freeway Service Road right-of-way 50 feet
- From Non-Arterial Street right-of-way 25 feet
- From other Development Area Boundary Lines 20 feet
- From abutting Residential Areas 30 feet

Minimum Off-Street Parking Requirements: As required by the applicable use unit

Other Bulk and Area Requirements: As established in the OM Zoning District

Minimum Landscaped Area: 15% of net lot area

Lighting:

Exterior area lighting shall be limited to shielded fixtures designed to direct light downward and away from adjoining residential properties. Lighting shall be so designed that the light producing elements and polished light reflecting elements of exterior lighting fixtures shall not be visible to a person standing within an adjacent residential area. Compliance with Section 1303-C of the City of Tulsa Zoning Code will be by application of the Kennebunkport Formula or other IESNA accepted practice and will be verified at detail site plan review by the submission of a detail plan.
VIII. **DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS: OFFICE ‘B’**
(Development Area ‘T’)

Permitted Uses; Uses allowed by right or special exception in the OM District, except Use Unit 16. Mini-Storage.

Maximum Building Height: 45 feet

Maximum Number of Stories: 3

Minimum Building Setbacks:

- From an Arterial Street right-of-way 50 feet
- From a Freeway Service Road right-of-way 50 feet
- From Non-Arterial Street right-of-way 25 feet
- From other Development Area Boundary Lines 20 feet
- From abutting Residential Areas 30 feet

Minimum Off-Street Parking Requirements: As required by the applicable Use Unit

Other Bulk and Area Requirements: As established in the OM Zoning District

Minimum Landscaped Area: 15% of net lot area

Lighting:

Exterior area lighting shall be limited to shielded fixtures designed to direct light downward and away from adjoining residential properties. Lighting shall be so designed that the light producing elements and polished light reflecting elements of exterior lighting fixtures shall not be visible to a person standing within an adjacent residential area. Compliance with Section 1303-C of the City of Tulsa Zoning Code will be by application of the Kennebunkport Formula or other IESNA accepted practice and will be verified at detail site plan review by the submission of a detail lighting plan.
IX. DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS: COMMERCIAL/NEIGHBORHOOD CENTER

Permitted Uses: Uses allowed by right or special exception in the CS Zoning District.

Maximum Building Height: 35 feet*

* All outdoor advertising shall comply with the standards set forth in the Tulsa Zoning Code under Section 1221. Use Unit 21 - Business Signs and Outdoor Advertising.

Maximum Number of Stories: 2

Minimum Building Setbacks:

- From an Arterial Street right-of-way 50 feet
- From a Freeway Service Road right-of-way 50 feet
- From Non-Arterial Street right-of-way 25 feet
- From other Development Area Boundary Lines 20 feet
- From abutting Residential Areas 50 feet

Minimum Off-Street Parking Requirements: As required by the applicable Use Unit

Other Bulk and Area Requirements: As established within the CS District

Minimum Landscaped Area: 10% of net lot area

Lighting:

Exterior area lighting shall be limited to shielded fixtures designed to direct light downward and away from adjoining residential properties. Lighting shall be so designed that the light producing elements and polished light reflecting elements of exterior lighting fixtures shall not be visible to a person standing within an adjacent residential area. Compliance with Section 1303-C of the City of Tulsa Zoning Code will be by application of the Kennebunkport Formula or other IESNA accepted practice and will be verified at detail site plan review by the submission of a detail lighting plan.
X. DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS: OPEN SPACE
(Development Areas ‘I’, and ‘S’)

Permitted Uses: Passive and active open space.

XI. LANDSCAPING AND OPEN SPACE

Within each Development Area landscaping and open space shall meet the requirements of Chapter 10 - Landscape Requirements and Chapter 11 - Planned Unit Development, of the City of Tulsa Zoning Code, in addition to the standards above set forth.

XII. DETAIL SITE PLAN REVIEW

Development Areas may be developed in phases and no building permit shall be issued until a Detail Site Plan of the proposed improvements has been submitted to the Tulsa Metropolitan Area Planning Commission and approved as being in compliance with the development concept and development standards of this PUD. No certificate of occupancy shall be issued for a building until the landscaping of the applicable phase of development has been installed in accordance with a landscaping plan and phasing schedule submitted to and approved by the Tulsa Metropolitan Area Planning Commission.

XIII. PLATTING REQUIREMENT

Development Areas may be developed in phases and no building permit shall be issued until the development phase for which a permit is sought has been included within a subdivision plat submitted to and approved by the Tulsa Metropolitan Area Planning Commission and the Council of the City of Tulsa, and duly filed of record. The required subdivision plat shall include covenants of record implementing the development standards of the approved PUD conceptual site plan and the City of Tulsa shall be a beneficiary thereof.

XIV. EXPECTED SCHEDULE OF DEVELOPMENT

Development of the project is expected to commence and be completed as market conditions permit.
STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The Planned Unit Development 624-A will be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan only if the Comprehensive Plan revision as submitted concurrently with this request is approved.

The future vision of the Gilcrease corridor is defined in the Comprehensive Plan as an important element for growth in north and west Tulsa. The PUD amendment along the Gilcrease corridor is consistent with that vision with the exception of the industrial use requested.

The parkway designation is an important consideration of this Planned Unit Development Major Amendment request. The requested Planned Unit Development Major Amendment should enhance the environmental and economic character of this part of Tulsa. The ultimate development of this area should be sensitive to the natural environment of this area and provide an opportunity for a development pattern that cannot be found elsewhere in Tulsa.

The PUD request is consistent with the anticipated Comprehensive Plan revisions and anticipated future development of this area.

Therefore, staff recommends approval of PUD 624-A

8.21.2013
WAIVER OF SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS FOR A LOT-SPLIT

August 21, 2013

LS-20621
Stephanie Martin, (2433) (AG) (County)
12857 North 143rd East Avenue

The Lot-split proposal is to split an existing AG (Agriculture) tract into two tracts. One of the resulting tracts will exceed the Bulk and Area Requirements of the Tulsa County Zoning Code. The other proposed lot did not meet the required Land Area per dwelling Unit of 2.1 acres. This tract was granted a Variance of the Land Area to .76 acres by the County Board of Adjustment on August 20, 2013.

One of the resulting tracts will have more than three side lot lines as required by the Subdivision Regulations. The applicant is requesting a waiver of the Subdivision Regulations that no tract has more than three side lot lines.

The County has asked for an additional 5' Right-of-Way easement on North 143rd East Avenue which is designated a Neighborhood Collector on the Major Street and Highway Plan.

The proposed lot-split would not have an adverse affect on the surrounding properties and staff recommends APPROVAL of the waiver of Subdivision Regulations and the lot-split.
PRELIMINARY SUBDIVISION PLAT

Henry Orthodontics - (9328) (CD 9)
South of the southeast corner of South Harvard Avenue and 41st Street South

This plat consists of two lots, one block, on .86 acres.

The following issues were discussed August 15, 2013, at the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meetings:

1. **Zoning:** The property is zoned PUD 798 (pending) and existing OL (office light). Access agreements need to be shown appropriately. Water and sewer service lines need to meet approval of City of Tulsa staff.

2. **Streets:** Mutual access cannot overlap into Limits of No Access at property line. Correct the floating arrow head for Mutual Access Easement. Clarify the limits of the Mutual Access Easement on the east end of the easement. Access width should match driveway width (24 feet). Include sidewalk on south side of driveway.

3. **Sewer:** The five-foot private service easement must be located outside the limits of the utility easement. Private service lines for water and sanitary cannot be located within the public utility easement. Show the existing easements adjacent to the proposed plat. A sanitary sewer mainline extension is required to serve Lot 1.

4. **Water:** The mutual access/utility easement must have language in it that addresses the proposed water service line serving Lot 2 from the street right-of-way across Lot 2's property. All water service meters for both tracts are to be located in the street right-of-way in a green space area.

   Service line easements are shown on the preliminary plat but this must be approved (waived) by TMUA (Tulsa Metropolitan Utility Authority) prior to final plat. If disapproved by TMUA, then the easement can be used as a water line and sanitary sewer easements for main extensions. (Development Services staff agree to the concept of having a specific easement for service lines for the sanitary and water that allow access across both lots for this plat.)

5. **Storm Drainage:** The floodplain note specifies that the property does not lie within either of the FEMA or City of Tulsa regulatory floodplains. This plat has more than one lot; therefore, the detention easement should be placed in a reserve to be maintained by a Property Owners' Association. The location of the detention easement may adversely affect the ingress and egress from the building on Lot 2, and its parking lot. It is suggested that the depth of water in the drive lanes through the parking lot stormwater detention facility should be five inches or less, during and after the 100-year rainfall event. Section 1.C. parking lot stormwater detention easement in a reserve standard language should be used. Item 5 does not apply to this type of detention.
6. **Utilities:** Telephone, Electric, Gas, Cable, Pipeline, Others: No comment.

7. **Other:** Fire: Provide a fire apparatus turn around for Lot 2.

8. **Other:** GIS: Surveyor's Certificate of Authorization has expired. Need e-mail address for engineer and surveyor. Show north arrow for location map. Label highway on location map. Give complete legal description with point of beginning and point of commencement. Dimension individual lots. Show square footage and acreage for each lot. Show pin and bearing and distance information. Submit subdivision control data sheet. Put contours on conceptual plan.

Staff recommends **APPROVAL** of the preliminary subdivision plat with the TAC recommendations and the special and standard conditions listed below.

**Waivers of Subdivision Regulations:**

1. None requested.

**Special Conditions:**

1. The concerns of the County Engineer must be taken care of to his satisfaction.

**Standard Conditions:**

1. Utility easements shall meet the approval of the utilities. Coordinate with Subsurface Committee if underground plant is planned. Show additional easements as required. Existing easements shall be tied to or related to property line and/or lot lines.

2. Water and sanitary sewer plans shall be approved by the Public Works Department prior to release of final plat. (Include language for W/S facilities in covenants.)

3. Pavement or landscape repair within restricted water line, sewer line, or utility easements as a result of water or sewer line or other utility repairs due to breaks and failures shall be borne by the owner(s) of the lot(s).

4. Any request for creation of a Sewer Improvement District shall be submitted to the Public Works Department Engineer prior to release of final plat.

5. Paving and/or drainage plans (as required) shall be approved by the Public Works Department.

6. Any request for a Privately Financed Public Improvement (PFPI) shall be submitted to the Public Works Department.
7. A topography map shall be submitted for review by TAC (Subdivision Regulations). (Submit with drainage plans as directed.)

8. Street names shall be approved by the Public Works Department and shown on plat.

9. All curve data, including corner radii, shall be shown on final plat as applicable.

10. Bearings, or true N/S, etc., shall be shown on perimeter of land being platted or other bearings as directed by the County Engineer.

11. All adjacent streets, intersections and/or widths thereof shall be shown on plat.

12. It is recommended that the developer coordinate with the Public Works Department during the early stages of street construction concerning the ordering, purchase and installation of street marker signs. (Advisory, not a condition for plat release.)

13. It is recommended that the applicant and/or his engineer or developer coordinate with the Tulsa City/County Health Department for solid waste disposal, particularly during the construction phase and/or clearing of the project. Burning of solid waste is prohibited.

14. The method of sewage disposal and plans therefor shall be approved by the City/County Health Department. [Percolation tests (if applicable) are required prior to preliminary approval of plat.]

15. The owner(s) shall provide the following information on sewage disposal system if it is to be privately operated on each lot: type, size and general location. (This information to be included in restrictive covenants on plat.)

16. The method of water supply and plans therefor shall be approved by the City/County Health Department.

17. All lots, streets, building lines, easements, etc., shall be completely dimensioned.

18. The key or location map shall be complete.

19. A Corporation Commission letter, Certificate of Non-Development, or other records as may be on file, shall be provided concerning any oil and/or gas wells before plat is released. (A building line shall be shown on plat on any wells not officially plugged. If plugged, provide plugging records.)

20. A "Letter of Assurance" regarding installation of improvements shall be provided prior to release of final plat. (Including documents required under 3.6.5 Subdivision Regulations.)
21. Applicant is advised of his responsibility to contact the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers regarding Section 404 of the Clean Waters Act.

22. All other Subdivision Regulations shall be met prior to release of final plat.

23. All PUD standards and conditions shall be included in the covenants of the plat and adequate mechanisms established to assure initial and continued compliance with the standards and conditions.

24. Private streets shall be built to City or County standards (depending upon the jurisdiction in which the plat is located) and inspected and accepted by same prior to issuance of any building permits in the subdivision.
PRELIMINARY SUBDIVISION PLAT

**Inland Truck Parts - (9404) (CD 6)**  
South of East Admiral Place, East of South 129th East Avenue

This plat consists of one lot, one block, on 14.3 acres.

The following issues were discussed August 1, 2013, at the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meetings:

1. **Zoning:** The property is zoned IL (industrial light).

2. **Streets:** Provide reference for right-of-way such as plat number or book and page number.

3. **Sewer:** Show the existing sanitary sewer easement along the south boundary line of the proposed plat. In Section I-C-2, omit the words "in excess of three feet".

4. **Water:** Field verification of the location of the existing 12-inch water main line along East Admiral Place. The site could only need to install two fire hydrants only if the 12-inch is closer to the west property line.

5. **Storm Drainage:** Cooley Creek Tulsa Regulatory floodplain must be labeled as such and its limits must be shown by plotting the 100-year water surface elevation across the property. The floodplain, plus an additional 20 feet adjacent to the floodplain, must be placed in an overland drainage easement. All overland drainage easements must be standalone easements, separate from the stormwater detention easement. Off-site drainage flows onto this property from the east, and is public drainage, which must be collected at the property line and thence be conveyed across the property in public easements. Those easements must be shown and labeled on the face of plat. Use City of Tulsa standard covenant language for Section I.C and F, and add roof drainage language for that drainage to be piped to the stormwater detention facility.

6. **Utilities:** Telephone, Electric, Gas, Cable, Pipeline, Others: No comment.

7. **Other:** Fire: No comment.

8. **Other:** GIS: Need e-mail address for engineer. Need north arrow for the location map. All subdivisions need to be shown on location map. Complete legal description. Need lot pin information and bearings. Submit control data sheet. Put contours on conceptual drawings.

Staff recommends **APPROVAL** of the preliminary subdivision plat with the TAC recommendations and the special and standard conditions listed below.
Waivers of Subdivision Regulations:

1. None requested.

Special Conditions:

1. The concerns of the County Engineer must be taken care of to his satisfaction.

Standard Conditions:

1. Utility easements shall meet the approval of the utilities. Coordinate with Subsurface Committee if underground plant is planned. Show additional easements as required. Existing easements shall be tied to or related to property line and/or lot lines.

2. Water and sanitary sewer plans shall be approved by the Public Works Department prior to release of final plat. (Include language for W/S facilities in covenants.)

3. Pavement or landscape repair within restricted water line, sewer line, or utility easements as a result of water or sewer line or other utility repairs due to breaks and failures shall be borne by the owner(s) of the lot(s).

4. Any request for creation of a Sewer Improvement District shall be submitted to the Public Works Department Engineer prior to release of final plat.

5. Paving and/or drainage plans (as required) shall be approved by the Public Works Department.

6. Any request for a Privately Financed Public Improvement (PFPI) shall be submitted to the Public Works Department.

7. A topography map shall be submitted for review by TAC (Subdivision Regulations). (Submit with drainage plans as directed.)

8. Street names shall be approved by the Public Works Department and shown on plat.

9. All curve data, including corner radii, shall be shown on final plat as applicable.

10. Bearings, or true N/S, etc., shall be shown on perimeter of land being platted or other bearings as directed by the County Engineer.

11. All adjacent streets, intersections and/or widths thereof shall be shown on plat.
12. It is recommended that the developer coordinate with the Public Works Department during the early stages of street construction concerning the ordering, purchase and installation of street marker signs. (Advisory, not a condition for plat release.)

13. It is recommended that the applicant and/or his engineer or developer coordinate with the Tulsa City/County Health Department for solid waste disposal, particularly during the construction phase and/or clearing of the project. Burning of solid waste is prohibited.

14. The method of sewage disposal and plans therefor shall be approved by the City/County Health Department. [Percolation tests (if applicable) are required prior to preliminary approval of plat.]

15. The owner(s) shall provide the following information on sewage disposal system if it is to be privately operated on each lot: type, size and general location. (This information to be included in restrictive covenants on plat.)

16. The method of water supply and plans therefor shall be approved by the City/County Health Department.

17. All lots, streets, building lines, easements, etc., shall be completely dimensioned.

18. The key or location map shall be complete.

19. A Corporation Commission letter, Certificate of Non-Development, or other records as may be on file, shall be provided concerning any oil and/or gas wells before plat is released. (A building line shall be shown on plat on any wells not officially plugged. If plugged, provide plugging records.)

20. A "Letter of Assurance" regarding installation of improvements shall be provided prior to release of final plat. (Including documents required under 3.6.5 Subdivision Regulations.)

21. Applicant is advised of his responsibility to contact the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers regarding Section 404 of the Clean Waters Act.

22. All other Subdivision Regulations shall be met prior to release of final plat.

23. All PUD standards and conditions shall be included in the covenants of the plat and adequate mechanisms established to assure initial and continued compliance with the standards and conditions.

24. Private streets shall be built to City or County standards (depending upon the jurisdiction in which the plat is located) and inspected and accepted by same prior to issuance of any building permits in the subdivision.
PLAT WAIVER

August 21, 2013

Z-7222 – West of the northwest corner of East 21st Street South and 177th East Avenue (9411) (CD 6)

The platting requirement is being triggered by a rezoning from AG to OL.

Staff provides the following information from TAC for their August 1, 2013 meeting:

ZONING: TMAPC Staff: The property has been previously platted.

STREETS: No comment.

SEWER: No comment.

WATER: No comment.

STORMWATER: No comment.

FIRE: No comment.

UTILITIES: No comment.

Staff recommends APPROVAL of the plat waiver for the previously platted property.

A YES answer to the following 3 questions would generally be FAVORABLE to a plat waiver:

| 1. Has Property previously been platted? | Yes | NO |
| 2. Are there restrictive covenants contained in a previously filed plat? | X |
| 3. Is property adequately described by surrounding platted properties or street right-of-way? | X |

A YES answer to the remaining questions would generally NOT be favorable to a plat waiver:

| 4. Is right-of-way dedication required to comply with Major Street and Highway Plan? | YES | NO |
| 5. Would restrictive covenants be required to be filed by separate instrument if the plat were waived? | X |
6. Infrastructure requirements:
   a) Water
      i. Is a main line water extension required? X
      ii. Is an internal system or fire line required? X
      iii. Are additional easements required? X
   b) Sanitary Sewer
      i. Is a main line extension required? X
      ii. Is an internal system required? X
      iii. Are additional easements required? X
   c) Storm Sewer
      i. Is a P.F.P.I. required? X
      ii. Is an Overland Drainage Easement required? X
      iii. Is on site detention required? X
      iv. Are additional easements required? X

7. Floodplain
   a) Does the property contain a City of Tulsa (Regulatory) Floodplain? X
   b) Does the property contain a F.E.M.A. (Federal) Floodplain? X

8. Change of Access
   a) Are revisions to existing access locations necessary? X

   a) If yes, was plat recorded for the original P.U.D.

10. Is this a Major Amendment to a P.U.D.? X
    a) If yes, does the amendment make changes to the proposed physical development of the P.U.D.?

11. Are mutual access easements needed to assure adequate access to the site? X

12. Are there existing or planned medians near the site which would necessitate additional right-of-way dedication or other special considerations? X

Note: If, after consideration of the above criteria, a plat waiver is granted on unplatted properties, a current ALTA/ACSM/NSPS Land Title Survey (and as subsequently revised) shall be required. Said survey shall be prepared in a recordable format and filed at the County Clerk's office by the applicant.
TULSA METROPOLITAN AREA PLANNING COMMISSION
CASE REPORT

APPLICATION: Z-7235

TRS 9418  
CZM 39

Atlas 641  
CD-5

TMAPC Hearing Date: July 10, 2013  
Continued to 8.21.2013

Applicant: Lou Reynolds  
Tract Size: 8+ acres

ADDRESS/GENERAL LOCATION: South of southeast corner of South 101st East Avenue and East 25th Street

EXISTING ZONING: RS-3/CDP-21  
EXISTING USE: Abandoned school building

PROPOSED ZONING: OL/PUD-797  
PROPOSED USE: CAP Tulsa education resource center

ZONING ORDINANCE: Ordinance number 11817 dated June 26, 1970, established zoning for the subject property.

RELEVANT ZONING HISTORY:

BOA-14987 November 17, 1988: The Board of Adjustment continued a request for a Special Exception to allow for a multi-agency children's special services center (special education, guidance, counseling, vocational rehabilitation supervision and health services) to locate in an existing school building in an RS-3 district, to allow the applicant and interested parties sufficient time to discuss the proposed center, on property located at 2525 South 101st East Avenue and is also the subject property. On February 16, 1989, the applicant withdrew the application.

BOA-14194 September 4, 1986: The Board of Adjustment approved a Special Exception to permit a before and after school child care program for elementary school children, on property located at 2525 South 101st East Avenue and is also the subject property.

BOA-8643 July 3, 1975: The Board of Adjustment approved a Special Exception for an extension of time for 18 months to permit the completion of Community Development Project #21, on property located between 21st and 27th Streets and west of South 101st East Avenue and is a part of the subject property.

BOA-6293 May 6, 1969: The Board of Adjustment approved a Special Exception to permit erecting a school in a U-1C (RS-3) district, on property located at East 27th Street and South 101st East Avenue and is also the subject property.
CDP-21 September 20, 1967: All concurred in approval of a proposed Community Development Project on a 246+ acre tract of land for a mixed use development including residential (single-family, duplex and multifamily), school use, and recreation/park area, on property located between East 21st Street and East 31st Street and between South Mingo Road and Highway 169 and is a part of the subject property.

AREA DESCRIPTION:

SITE ANALYSIS: The subject property is approximately 8+ acres in size and is located south of southeast corner of South 101st East Avenue and East 25th Street. The property appears to be an abandoned school building and is zoned RS-3/CDP-21.

SURROUNDING AREA: The subject tract is abutted on all sides by a single family residential subdivision zoned RS-3.

UTILITIES: The subject tract has municipal water and sewer available.

STREETS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exist. Access</th>
<th>MSHP Design</th>
<th>MSHP R/W</th>
<th>Exist. # Lanes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South 101st East Avenue/</td>
<td>Secondary Arterial</td>
<td>100 feet</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Mingo Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South 103rd East Avenue</td>
<td>Non Classified</td>
<td>50 feet</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RELATIONSHIP TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:

AREAS OF STABILITY

The Areas of Stability includes approximately 75% of the city's total parcels. Existing residential neighborhoods, where change is expected to be minimal, make up a large proportion of the Areas of Stability. The ideal for the Areas of Stability is to identify and maintain the valued character of an area while accommodating the rehabilitation, improvement or replacement of existing homes, and small scale infill projects. The concept of stability and growth is specifically designed to enhance the unique qualities of older neighborhoods that are looking for new ways to preserve their character and quality of life. The concept of stability and growth is specifically designed to enhance the unique qualities of older neighborhoods that are looking for new ways to preserve their character and quality of life.

Staff Summary: The proposed school site re-development may be consistent with the concept defined in the area of stability. The location and upgrade of schools in a neighborhood can add stability to a neighborhood especially when community cooperation and involvement is centered around the facility. Straight OL zoning is not a compatible use inside an existing area of stability because it does not provide opportunity or encourage neighborhood involvement. The OL zoning classification at this location is only appropriate with a detailed Planned Unit Development overlay which prohibits all office uses except a school and educational service center.
Existing Residential Neighborhoods

The Existing Residential Neighborhood category is intended to preserve and enhance Tulsa’s existing single family neighborhoods. Development activities in these areas should be limited to the rehabilitation, improvement or replacement of existing homes, and small-scale infill projects, as permitted through clear and objective setback, height, and other development standards of the zoning code. In cooperation with the existing community, the city should make improvements to sidewalks, bicycle routes, and transit so residents can better access parks, schools, churches, and other civic amenities.

**Staff Comment:** The existing school site was originally considered as part of a CDP project which was predecessor to the Planned Unit Development inside the City of Tulsa. At that time there was no development guideline identifying any of the site details that we normally consider in the Comprehensive Plan or the contemporary PUD process. OL zoning districts are not a compatible use inside an existing residential neighborhood. However a school and educational support center may be found appropriate inside an existing neighborhood. In conjunction with a Planned Unit Development the OL zoning can be an appropriate use.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

The proposed school and education service center may be consistent with the vision identified for this area in the Comprehensive Plan and the original Community Development Project (CDP-21). The school component and education service center uses allowed in this project are compatible with the Comprehensive Plan and staff supports the re-use and/or expansion of the project as a school use with accessory offices including the offices necessary to support the Community Action Project facilities across the city. The proposed use defined in the Planned Unit Development does not allow any of the normal offices that come with this zoning classification however the education service center components are consistent with the normal school functions.

The proposed building construction and expansion of the existing school is consistent with the expected development pattern in the area. The scale of the facility is no bigger than many of the schools scattered throughout the city for the Tulsa Public School System.

The applicant has worked with staff and established site guidelines within PUD-797 that is being heard concurrently. The school and educational service component of the OL zoning are only appropriate at this location with a Planned Unit Development overlay that will insure compatibility with the existing neighborhood.

The Community Action Project (CAP) proposal for a creative use of the Mayo School site is appropriate with a Planned Unit Development therefore staff recommends approval of the rezoning request from RS-3/CDP-21 to OL.

The OL zoning request is not appropriate without a Planned Unit Development overlay. In the event that the PUD is ever abandoned or replaced; the underlying OL zoning shall be reconsidered and replaced with the original intent that a school or single family residential area be constructed.

8.21.2013
TMAPC

As residents of Longview Lake we are opposing the rezoning of the old Mayo School building or Z-7235/PUD-797 (on the map). We value our neighborhood and want to preserve it. We don't want it to go commercial as too many things can cause property value to decline and traffic to increase.
STOP THE REZONING OF MAYO SCHOOL

INCOG

NEIGHBORHOOD

WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR COMMERCIAL SPACE COULD BE USED FOR ANYTHING
We DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR OFFICE SPACE
WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR

Rhonda James 2336 S. 103 E Ave Tulsa, OK 74110
Joyce Ostrell 10309 E 23rd Pl
C. Tillman Ryan 10303 E 23rd Place
Mary Sue Ryan 10303 E 23rd Place
Leah Schwartz 10111 E 23rd Pl Tulsa 74129
M. A. Job 3. L. 2305 S. 10th E Place Tulsa, OK 74129
Stene & Wanda Eklow 2304 S. 102nd E Ave
Virginia F. Carter 10235 S. 24th St. Tulsa, OK
Jeannie Ballard 10241 S. 24th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
RORY mckenzie 2473 S. 104th Pl Tulsa, OK 74129
April Wright 2451 S. 104th Ave Tulsa, OK
Pat & Marie @ 10210 E 23rd Pl
Mary Kline 10210 E 23rd Pl 74129
Ly Dallas 1027 E 24th Pl 74129
Roy Neuman 10127 E 24th Place 74129
Dr. W. Norley 10137 E. 24th Place 74129
Thad Newell 2426 S. 104th E Ave
Mandie Weber 2426 S. 104th E Ave Tulsa, OK 74129
STOP THE REZONING OF MAYO SCHOOL

INCOG

NEIGHBORHOOD

WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR COMMERCIAL SPACE COULD BE USED FOR ANYTHING
We DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR OFFICE SPACE
WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR

Sharon Parker 1307 S. 104th E Ave
Floyd Redgren 10319 E 23rd Pl.
Elaine Roberts 2311 S 102nd Ave
W H Kelsoy 2326 S 103rd E Ave
James Reedy 2335 S 103rd E Ave
Antonia Degu 2335 S 103rd E Ave
Evelyn Cade 2327 S 103rd E Ave Falls 74129
Doris Bautista 2300 E 27th Pk
Doris Bautista 2300 E 27th Pk
Miguel Diaz 10326 E 23rd Pl
Danyll Wilkins 2321 S 104th E Ave
Kim 2336 S 104th E Ave 918-520-2336
Janice McDowell 2331 S 104th E Ave
Marie Benshop 10322 E 27th Pl
Judy Benshop 10332 E 23rd Pl
Jina Johnston 10315 E 24th St
Diana McCoy 2359 S 104th E Ave
Janice J 2359 S 104th E Ave

19.10
STOP THE REZONING OF MAYO SCHOOL

INCOG

NEIGHBORHOOD

WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR COMMERCIAL SPACE COULD BE USED FOR ANYTHING
We DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR OFFICE SPACE
WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR

2351 S 104TH RT. AVE TULSA, OK
2437 S 104TH RT. AVE TULSA, OK
10305 E 27TH ST TULSA OK
10199 E 25TH ST TULSA OK 74129
10199 E 25TH ST TULSA OK
Martine Greenley 2416 S 6161 E 80TH PL
2309 S 101ST E. AVE
Georges 10103 E 23RD PL TULSA OK 74129
10216 E 23RD PL
Esther Wright 10216 E 23RD PL TULSA OK 74129.
Tina Melton 2352 S 104TH EAST AVE TULSA OK 74129
Martha Rees 10240 E 24TH TULSA OK 74129
J. D. Rees 10240 E 24TH ST TULSA OK 74129
Michelle Groulx 2319 S 102ND E. TULSA OK 74129

14.11
STOP THE REZONING OF MAYO SCHOOL

INCOG

NEIGHBORHOOD

WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR COMMERCIAL SPACE COULD BE USED FOR ANYTHING
We DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR OFFICE SPACE
WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR

Name

Address

Candice Smith
10215 E 22nd Pl
Tulsa, 74129

Arthur Curry
10207 E 23rd St.
Tulsa, 74129

2115 S. 103rd East Ave
Tulsa, 74129

Sanna Gipson
2305W 21st E.
Tulsa, 74129

Gaye Hall
104th E. Ave
Tulsa, 74129

Theresa Napier
2558 S. 104th E. Ave
Tulsa, 74129

R.G. Broussard
16167 E 44th Pl.
Tulsa, 74113

Herald V. Jones
10133 E 24th

Catalyn M. Angel
10121 E 23rd Pl.

Charles M. Angel
10121 E 23rd Pl.

Meloney Tesh
2319 S. 102nd E.

Rakelle Thomas
2330 S. 103rd E Ave
STOP THE REZONING OF MAYO SCHOOL

INCOG

NEIGHBORHOOD

WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR COMMERCIAL SPACE COULD BE USED FOR ANYTHING
WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR OFFICE SPACE
WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chanelle Hunter</td>
<td>10325 E 23rd Place, Tulsa, OK 74129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bermonica Simms</td>
<td>10136 E 24th Pl, Tulsa, OK 74129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marquita Kelley</td>
<td>10135 E 24th Pl, Tulsa, OK 74129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaba Dugger</td>
<td>10121 E 25th St, Tulsa, OK 74129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joyce Lane</td>
<td>10128 E 25th St, Tulsa, OK 74129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janie Fowler</td>
<td>10134 E 25th St, Tulsa, OK 74129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laverda Smith</td>
<td>10160 E 25th St, Tulsa, OK 74129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcretta Smith</td>
<td>10310 E 25th Place, Tulsa, OK 74129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor Perez</td>
<td>2506 S 104th East Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Guzman</td>
<td>2505 S 104th E. Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melina Rios</td>
<td>2505 S 104th E. Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherrin Nelson</td>
<td>2522 S 104th E. Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clyde Davis</td>
<td>2526 S 104th E. Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Smith</td>
<td>2538 S 104th E. Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassandra Spears</td>
<td>2341 S 104th E. Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jose Torres</td>
<td>2720 S 104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14.13
STOP THE REZONING OF MAYO SCHOOL

INCOG

NEIGHBORHOOD

WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR COMMERCIAL SPACE COULD BE
USED FOR ANYTHING
We DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR OFFICE SPACE
WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR

Rose Collins 2727 S. 104th E Ave
Sabrina Givens 2731 S. 104th E Ave
Bela Portuguese 10134 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Carlina Beck 10143 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Cynthia Varela 10139 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Leonard T. Wapole 10135 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Bellah M. Virginia 10135 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Brenley Cottonfield 10114 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Alan F. Walker 10108 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Jonathan Garvin 10114 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Kelly Henderson 10124 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Dailea Powell 10138 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Fay H. Freeman 10147 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Jauvan Ferk 10144 E. 28th St. Tulsa 74129
Chas. Brown 10152 E 28th St. Tulsa 74129
Sariann Arizona 10120 E 29th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Marc Hen 10120 E 29th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Nique Derrn 10126 E 29th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Bennie Hasley 10133 E. 29th St. Tulsa 74129

14.14
STOP THE REZONING OF MAYO SCHOOL

INCOG

NEIGHBORHOOD

WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR COMMERCIAL SPACE COULD BE USED FOR ANYTHING
We DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR OFFICE SPACE
WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR

2-0L 10129 E 29th Street Tulsa 74129

George W. Hancock 10119 E 28th St. Tulsa 74129

Terry King 10030 E 28th St Tulsa OK 74129

Ken Knebel 10012 E 28th Tulsa, Ok 74129

Janet Knebel 10012 E 28th Tulsa, Ok 74129

Chin Ziysad Bey 10005 E 29th Street, Tulsa Ok 74129

Danny 10005 E 28th Tulsa, Ok 74129

Deaverick 2772 S 1015th Place Tulsa Ok 74129

Condor Mitchell 2305 So 103rd E Ave Tulsa Ok 74129

WATER MOS CEDAR 2305 So 103 E Ave Tulsa Ok 74129

Francis 2315 S 102nd East Tulsa Ok 74129

14.15
TULSA METROPOLITAN AREA PLANNING COMMISSION
CASE REPORT

APPLICATION: PUD-797

TRS 9418
CZM 39

Atlas 641
CD-5

TMAPC Hearing Date: July 10, 2013
Continued to 8.21.2013

Applicant: Lou Reynolds

Tract Size: 8+ acres

ADDRESS/GENERAL LOCATION: South of southeast corner of South 101st East Avenue and East 25th Street

EXISTING ZONING: RS-3/ CDP-21
EXISTING USE: Abandoned school building

PROPOSED ZONING: OL/ PUD-797
PROPOSED USE: CAP Tulsa Education Resource Center

ZONING ORDINANCE: Ordinance number 11817 dated June 26, 1970, established zoning for the subject property.

RELEVANT ZONING HISTORY:

BOA-14987 November 17, 1988: The Board of Adjustment continued a request for a Special Exception to allow for a multi-agency children's special services center (special education, guidance, counseling, vocational rehabilitation supervision and health services) to locate in an existing school building in an RS-3 district, to allow the applicant and interested parties sufficient time to discuss the proposed center, on property located at 2525 South 101st East Avenue and is also the subject property. On February 16, 1989, the applicant withdrew the application.

BOA-14194 September 4, 1986: The Board of Adjustment approved a Special Exception to permit a before and after school child care program for elementary school children, on property located at 2525 South 101st East Avenue and is also the subject property.

BOA-8643 July 3, 1975: The Board of Adjustment approved a Special Exception for an extension of time for 18 months to permit the completion of Community Development Project #21, on property located between 21st and 27th Streets and west of South 101st East Avenue and is a part of the subject property.

BOA-6293 May 6 1969: The Board of Adjustment approved a Special Exception to permit erecting a school in a U-1C (RS-3) district, on property located at East 27th Street and South 101st East Avenue and is also the subject property.
CDP-21 September 20, 1967: All concurred in approval of a proposed Community Development Project on a 246+ acre tract of land for a mixed use development including residential (single-family, duplex and multifamily), school use, and recreation/park area, on property located between East 21st Street and East 31st Street and between South Mingo Road and Highway 169 and is a part of the subject property.

**AREA DESCRIPTION:**

**SITE ANALYSIS:** The subject property is approximately 8+ acres in size and is located south of southeast corner of South 101st East Avenue and East 25th Street. The property appears to be an abandoned school building and is zoned RS-3/CDP-21.

**SURROUNDING AREA:** The subject tract is abutted on all sides by a single family residential subdivision zoned RS-3.

**UTILITIES:** The subject tract has municipal water and sewer available.

**STREETS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exist. Access</th>
<th>MSHP Design</th>
<th>MSHP R/W</th>
<th>Exist. # Lanes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South 101st East Avenue/ South Mingo Road</td>
<td>Secondary Arterial</td>
<td>100 feet</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South 103rd East Avenue</td>
<td>Non Classified</td>
<td>50 feet</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RELATIONSHIP TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:**

**AREAS OF STABILITY**

The Areas of Stability includes approximately 75% of the city’s total parcels. Existing residential neighborhoods, where change is expected to be minimal, make up a large proportion of the Areas of Stability. The ideal for the Areas of Stability is to identify and maintain the valued character of an area while accommodating the rehabilitation, improvement or replacement of existing homes, and small scale infill projects. The concept of stability and growth is specifically designed to enhance the unique qualities of older neighborhoods that are looking for new ways to preserve their character and quality of life. The concept of stability and growth is specifically designed to enhance the unique qualities of older neighborhoods that are looking for new ways to preserve their character and quality of life.

*Staff Summary:* The proposed school site re-development may be consistent with the concept defined in the area of stability. The location and upgrade of schools in a neighborhood can add stability to a neighborhood especially when community cooperation and involvement is centered on the facility. The underlying OL zoning classification at this location is only appropriate with a detailed Planned Unit Development overlay which prohibits all office uses except a school and educational service center.
Existing Residential Neighborhoods

The Existing Residential Neighborhood category is intended to preserve and enhance Tulsa’s existing single family neighborhoods. Development activities in these areas should be limited to the rehabilitation, improvement or replacement of existing homes, and small-scale infill projects, as permitted through clear and objective setback, height, and other development standards of the zoning code. In cooperation with the existing community, the city should make improvements to sidewalks, bicycle routes, and transit so residents can better access parks, schools, churches, and other civic amenities.

Staff Comment: The existing school site was originally considered as part of a Community Development Plan (CDP) project which was predecessor to the Planned Unit Development inside the City of Tulsa. At that time there was no development guideline identifying any of the site details that we normally consider in the Comprehensive Plan or the contemporary PUD process. OL zoning districts are not a compatible use inside an existing residential neighborhood. However a school and educational support center may be found appropriate inside an existing neighborhood. In conjunction with a Planned Unit Development the OL zoning can be an appropriate use. The proposed standards identified in this application include screening, landscaping, lighting building maximum sizes use limitations, sidewalks and other amenities that the school has not or cannot provide. Many of those components of the design details will provide a facility that is integrated into the neighborhood.

APPLICANT CONCEPT STATEMENT:

I. DEVELOPMENT CONCEPT:

A. The History of CAP Tulsa

The Community Action movement began in 1964 as part of President Lyndon B. Johnson’s War on Poverty Program. Today, there are over 1,000 Community Action Agencies nationwide providing a variety of targeted, community-oriented services and solutions for low-income Americans.

Community Action Project of Tulsa County (CAP Tulsa) began under the name “Project Get Together” in 1973 and was funded with a federal grant through the Office of Economic Opportunity to address the problems faced by low-income people living in the southern portion of Tulsa County. During those early years, much casework was done with migrant farm workers and with tenants in apartment complexes. Project Get Together assisted in the formation of community organizations to provide a collective position from which people disenfranchised by poverty might work toward positive change, helped devise several summer recreation programs, opened a daycare facility for the children of migrant workers, and worked with a local church to run a transportation program for senior citizens.

Over the years, Project Get Together expanded its programs and services in response to client needs to become a comprehensive anti-poverty agency.
In the fall of 1997, the Oklahoma Department of Commerce (ODOC) decertified the Tulsa Community Action Agency (TCAA) as the county's community action agency, and TCAA's Board of Directors voted to shut the organization down entirely. Shortly thereafter, a broad coalition of people and groups united to establish a new non-profit organization – Community Action Program of Tulsa County – which ODOC designated as the county's new community action agency.

Project Get Together and the newly formed Community Action Program shared virtually identical mission statements – helping people achieve economic self-sufficiency. While the two organizations had independent, non-overlapping boards of directors, both boards were concerned about how to best serve the needs of the county's poor residents, avoid unnecessary duplication of services, and minimize administrative costs. Consequently, the boards of the two agencies soon thereafter began discussing the possibility of a consolidation. Those discussions culminated with both boards voting unanimously in February 1998 to unite their efforts using the new name "Community Action Project of Tulsa County."

Also in 1998, the Tulsa Children's Coalition became a part of CAP Tulsa. This consolidation has strengthened our efforts to provide low-income families access to quality, affordable early childhood education.

In late 2005, CAP Tulsa completed a lengthy strategic planning process in which the agency adopted a major shift in its anti-poverty approach, concluding that the best approach for improving the long-term economic prospects for low-income children was through an "integrated service delivery" model. The idea was to serve a specific set of clients, families with very young children, through combined efforts with our partners.

In 2006, CAP Tulsa became the grantee for the Oklahoma Early Childhood Program (OECP) to provide comprehensive, full-day, full-year educational services for at-risk children ages birth to 3 years. OECP is a public/private partnership to improve the quality of early education services and to expand capacity to serve low-income children from birth through age three throughout the state of Oklahoma. The program serves 2,400 children annually and emphasizes recruitment and retention of highly trained, well-educated teachers. Private funding has been provided by statewide philanthropists and others. Public funding flows through the Oklahoma Department of Education as a match to private funds.

In 2010, Tulsa became one of only eight cities selected to partner in the federal Social Innovation Fund (SIF), a public-private investment vehicle designed to replicate proven anti-poverty programs that have demonstrated compelling evidence of impact. Through this process, CAP Tulsa was chosen to implement SaveUSA, an asset development program that offers income-eligible individuals a 50% match if they deposit a portion of their tax refund into a savings account for one year.

In 2010, the Administration for Children & Families chose CAP Tulsa as one of 32 organizations nationwide to participate in an evaluation of research-based training programs intended to help low-income individuals obtain employment with a family-supporting wage in the high demand field of health care. CAP Tulsa's five-year, $10M grant award is being used to scale up CareerAdvance®, its dual-generation, workforce development program designed in collaboration with experts in human and economic
development from the Ray Marshall Center at the University of Texas and the Harvard Graduate School of Education.

In 2011, CAP Tulsa was one of ten Head Start sites named as a "Center of Excellence" by the national Office of Head Start. HUD awarded CAP Tulsa one of the first Choice Neighborhoods planning grants in the nation.

Later in 2011, the Department of Education awarded CAP Tulsa a Promise Neighborhoods planning grant to develop a cradle-through-college-to-career continuum of solutions for residents of the Eugene Field and Kendall-Whittier Neighborhoods. To date, CAP Tulsa is the only organization in the country selected to lead both a Choice and Promise Neighborhoods planning effort – two centerpieces of the Obama Administration’s inter-agency Neighborhood Revitalization Initiative.

In June of 2012, Tulsa became only the ninth city in the nation to establish an annual summer training location for Teach For America (TFA) corps members. In the inaugural year, 650 newly recruited teachers and 120 national TFA staff members were housed at the University of Tulsa in the heart of the Kendall-Whittier Neighborhood while teaching summer school classes for 5,300 students within the Tulsa Public Schools district. The arrival of this remarkable resource of dedicated educators followed only three years after TFA first began leading classrooms within Tulsa Public Schools’ and CAP Tulsa’s facilities.

In 2012, CAP Tulsa implemented a new strategic plan through 2015 for the purpose of evaluating how programs and services are performing and what outcomes they are producing. A new logo was developed with the tagline "Helping Families Succeed." The tagline reflects the agency’s brand promise that every family and every child deserves the same opportunity for success.
STAFF SUMMARY:

A. The Project

CAP Tulsa in collaboration with Tulsa Children's Coalition has a vision for the former Mayo Elementary School (Tulsa Public) located at 2525 South 101st East Avenue (the "Project") to become an educational resource center for economically at risk families with very young children.

Because the Project is located in an area of stability on the Stability and Growth Map, CAP Tulsa has elected to use a Planned Unit Development to support the Project.

On May 6, 2013, CAP Tulsa sent letters to all of its neighbors within 300 FT of the Project. The May 6th letter invited all the Project's neighbors to a meeting about the Project and necessary re-zoning, that was held on May 16, 2013, at CAP Tulsa's Skelly Early Childhood Education Center at 8811 East 31st Street. On July 8, 2013, representatives of CAP Tulsa had another neighborhood meeting at the same location. Many of the changes to PUD No. 797 are as a result of this later meeting.

As proposed in PUD No. 797, the Project will reconfigure and expand the existing 35,878 SF school building to include additional classrooms, adult training rooms and computer learning labs, meeting room space and offices.

The Project is comprised of approximately 7.8 acres, currently zoned Residential Single-Family High Density District ("RS-3"), and overlaid by Community Development Plan No. 21 ("CDP-21"). PUD No. 797 is accompanied by TMAPC Case No. Z-7235 which case requests the Project be zoned OL-Office Low Intensity District. As a part of this PUD Application CAP Tulsa requests that the Project be rezoned to OL/PUD No. 797 from RS-3/CDP-21.

The Conceptual Site Plan for the Project is shown on Exhibit "A". The Project will be incorporated into the existing Mayo School building as a single building with a two-story office component.

Exhibit "B1" is an Area Aerial Photograph of the Area Land Uses around the Project.

To assure compatibility with the adjacent residences, additional landscaping and a 6 FT masonry screening wall will be extended along the north and south boundaries of the Project. Heavily landscaped parking areas and over 25 new trees, at least 8 FT in height, will be planted to soften the Project and provide a park-like atmosphere. Also, the Project will have a sidewalk around the perimeter as a walking path for the neighborhood, and a community garden, playground and park area for use by the Project's neighbors.

Further building height is limited to 30 FT and there will not be any second story windows on the south facing wall. Moreover, the primary building materials will be brick and stone. Finally, there will be little change to the existing building.

In order to further assure compatibility with the neighborhood, the office use on the Property has been limited to an Education Service Center use only.
Access to the Project will be limited to two (2) driveways along South 101st East Avenue, and there will not be any additional drives onto the Project. Access from South 103rd East Avenue will be for emergency vehicles only, and will have crash gates for emergency vehicles.

Internal circulation within the Project will be designed to separate the traffic and parking within the Project. Sidewalks will be provided along South 101st East Avenue and South 103rd East Avenue. Also, a trail system will be developed along the perimeter of the Project providing an approximately 1/2 mile of trail that will be available for public use.

Because the Project is based on a closed elementary school, the development must be expected, and the proposed Development Standards will assure the compatibility necessary to protect and enhance the residential character of the neighborhood.

Finally, the Detailed Site Plan review will ensure continued compliance with the approved Development Standards.

II. DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS:

GROSS LAND AREA: 371,406 SF 8.5 AC
NET LAND AREA: 342,498 SF 7.8 AC

PERMITTED USES:

Use Unit 1., Area-Wide Uses By Right, limited to, Community Garden, Arboretum and Sidewalks,

Use Unit 5., Community Services & Similar Uses, limited to Children’s Nursery, Community Center, Cultural Facility, Library, Park, Public/Private, Schools, Public or Private, including a cafeteria providing meals on and off premises,

Use Unit 11., Offices, Studios and Support Services, limited to an Education Service Center only, together with such uses customarily accessory and incidental to the Permitted Uses.

MAXIMUM BUILDING FLOOR AREA: 90,000 SF
MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT:* Two stories not to exceed 30FT from the finished floor elevation to the top roof plate.

*Architectural features, such as parapets, shall not exceed 35 FT above the finished floor elevation; provided, however, a mechanical screening wall above the second story roof plate will be set back at least 8 FT from the face of the building and may exceed the 35’ height as required to provide screening for the rooftop mechanical systems.
BUILDING DESIGN STANDARDS: No second story windows will be allowed on the south side of the building expansion.

Brick and glass construction similar in concept to the building elevations included in this package.

MINIMUM OFF-STREET PARKING SPACES:

There will be at least 238 parking spaces provided within the Project.

MINIMUM BUILDING SETBACKS:

From North boundary 100 FT
From South 103rd East Avenue right-of-way 135 FT
From South boundary 43 FT
From South 101st East Avenue boundary line 190 FT

MINIMUM PARKING SPACE OR ACCESS DRIVE SETBACK:

From North boundary 30 FT
From South boundary 20 FT

SIGNS:

Ground Signs:

One (1) monument sign along South 101st East Avenue not exceeding 10 FT in height and 64 SF in display surface area.

Directional Signs:

Two (2) directional signs along South 101st East Avenue, one at each entrance, not exceeding 3 SF of display surface area.

LIGHTING:

Outdoor Lighting shall be shielded and designed so as to prevent the light producing element or reflector of the light fixture from being visible to a person standing at ground level in adjacent residential areas.

Additionally as part of the site plan review an accurate lighting plan illustrating light poles and fixtures with a photometric plan will be provided illustrating height, fixtures facing down and away from the residential areas. The photometric plan must be provided which does not exceed zero foot candles at the property lines.
Exterior lighting whether ground or building mounted shall not exceed 15’ in height.

Existing lighting whether building mounted or ground mounted will be modified to meet the standards listed above as necessary.

LANDSCAPED AREA:

A minimum of forty percent (40%) of the Net Land Area of the Project shall be improved as internal landscaped open space. Additional landscape provisions have been provided in “The Project” description above.

TRASH AND MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT AREAS:

All trash and mechanical equipment areas (excluding utility service transformers, pedestals or equipment provided by franchise utility providers) including building mounted, shall be screened from public view in such a manner that the areas cannot be seen by a person standing on any part of the property line at ground level.

Enclosures for trash dumpsters shall be masonry construction with steel door frames. The doors shall be covered with appropriate covering containing minimum 95% opacity on the gate frame.

The trash enclosure must be placed on the north side of the proposed or existing building.

NO OUTSIDE STORAGE:

There shall be no outside storage of recyclable material, trash or similar materials outside of a screening receptacle, nor shall trucks or trailer trucks be parked unless they are actively being loaded or unloaded. Truck trailers and shipping containers shall not be used for storage.

III. LANDSCAPING AND SCREENING DETAILS:

The Project landscaping and screening details will comply with the requirements of the Tulsa Zoning Code for street frontage and parking area landscaping and will establish a new minimum 30 FT landscape buffer separating the northerly boundary of the parking and drive aisle from the residential neighborhood to the north, and a new minimum 20 FT landscape buffer separating the southerly parking and drive aisle from the residential neighborhood to the south (See Exhibit “E” -- Conceptual Landscape Plan).

A 6 FT masonry screening wall will be constructed along the south boundary and the north boundary of the Project that abuts the residential zoning to the north and the south. The design of the screening wall shown on Exhibit “F” -- Boundary Screening Wall Details.

Landscaping of the Project is shown on Exhibit “E” -- Conceptual Landscape Plan. This landscaping will incorporate, to the extent feasible, the healthy existing trees and will add additional trees a minimum of 8 FT in height at the time of planting to create an immediate visual barrier over and above the 6 FT high masonry screening wall.
Any parking area within 100 FT of the street right-of-way will be landscaped with a minimum
width of 10 FT with 15, 3, gallon plants per 50 FT, which plants will be a minimum of 30 IN in
height at planting.

The dumpster will be located near the north side of the existing building and will be screened
with masonry walls.

IV. ACCESS AND CIRCULATION:

Access to the Project will be from South 101st East Avenue as shown on Exhibit “A”. Except for
an Emergency Vehicle Only access to and from South 103rd East Avenue, as shown on such
Plan, there will not be any vehicular access to South 103rd East Avenue.

Internal circulation within the Project will be designed to separate the traffic and parking with the
Project. The southern drive will be the primary entrance for parents dropping off their children,
and the northern drive will be the primary entrance for adult programs, management,
operations, and other activities on the Project.

Sidewalks will be provided along South 101st East Avenue and South 103rd East Avenue.

Also, a trail system will be developed along the perimeter of the Project providing an
approximate 1/2 mile of trail that will be available for public use.

V. ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS:

Topography:

The Project is relatively level, sloping northwesterly approximately 10 FT from the
southeast corner of the Project.

Along the easterly boundary of the Project the existing grade is approximately 654 FT
above mean sea level, versus existing grades of approximately 644 FT as measured
along South 101st East Avenue.

Utilities:

Water:

A 12 IN water main is in place along South 101st East Avenue and an 8 IN water
main is in place along South 103rd East Avenue.

Sanitary Sewer:

An 8 IN sanitary sewer main is located on site, along the north boundary of the
Project.

Other Utilities:

Other utilities, including gas, electric, telephone and cable television are currently
available at the site.

Drainage:
Storm water from the Project flows toward an open channel running along the north boundary of the Project that is a part of Dickenson Creek. On-site detention will be required for additional impervious area.

Soils:

The top soil is a silt loam under lying with a silty-clay. Poor drainage is prevalent across the Project site.

VI. SITE PLAN REVIEW:

No building permit shall be issued for any building within the Project until a Planned Unit Development Detailed Site Plan and Detailed Landscape Plan have been submitted to the Tulsa Metropolitan Area Planning Commission and approved as being in compliance with the Approved Planned Unit Development Standards.

VII. SCHEDULE OF DEVELOPMENT:

Development will begin upon final approval of the Planned Unit Development, platting of the property and detailed site and landscape plan approval. The anticipated construction start date is the first quarter of 2014.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The proposed expansion of the Mayo School site for CAP Tulsa is consistent with the vision identified for this area in the Comprehensive Plan and the original vision of the Community Development Project (CDP-21). The school component of this project is compatible with the Comprehensive Plan and staff supports the re-use and/or expansion of the property as a school use with accessory offices.

The proposed uses and limitations provided in the Planned Unit Development are consistent with the expected development pattern in the area.

The applicant has satisfied all of the items requested by TMAPC staff and the City of Tulsa planning department regarding placement of the proposed building, site improvements regarding screening, lighting, setbacks and other landscaping components defined in the Planned Unit Development.

The project is consistent with the PUD chapter of the Zoning Code.

The applicant has also worked with staff and established facility use, site and building guidelines that will provide integration of the new facility into the existing neighborhood therefore staff recommends approval of PUD 797 as defined in the Staff Summary above.

08.21.2013
Longview Lake Homeowners Association  
P. O. Box 690114  
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74169-0114  
Office 918-622-6421  

TMAPC  

As residents of Longview Lake we are opposing the rezoning of the old Mayo School building or Z-7235/PUD-797 (on the map). We value our neighborhood and want to preserve it. We don't want it to go commercial as too many things can cause property value to decline and traffic to increase.
STOP THE REZONING OF MAYO SCHOOL

INCOG

NEIGHBORHOOD

WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR COMMERCIAL SPACE COULD BE USED FOR ANYTHING
We DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR OFFICE SPACE
WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR

Phyllis Thomas 2336 S. 103rd E Ave Tulsa, OK 74119
Joyce Coffel 10309 E 23rd Pl
Tillman Rogers 10303 E 23rd Place
Mary Sue Rogers 10303 E 23rd Place
Joyce Shadwell 10311 E 23rd Pl Tulsa 74129

Mary A. John B. 2305 E 10th Place Tulsa, OK 74129
Dean & Wanda Enlow 2304 E 102nd E Ave

Virginia E. 10235 E 24th St. Tulsa, OK
Dianne Ballard 10241 E 24th St. Tulsa, OK 74129

Kenny McKee 2437 S 104th E Ave Tulsa, OK 74129
Curt Moore 2437 S 104th E Ave Tulsa, OK 74129

Pete Smith 10310 E 23rd Pl

J. M. 10210 E 23rd Pl. 74129
J. Glenn 10127 E 24th Pl 74129
Reg Howard 10127 E 24th Pl 74129

J. W. 10137 E 24th Place 74129

Gary Locke 2426 S 104th E Ave Tulsa, OK 74129
STOP THE REZONING OF MAYO SCHOOL

INCOG

NEIGHBORHOOD

WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR COMMERCIAL SPACE COULD BE USED FOR ANYTHING
We DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR OFFICE SPACE
We DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR

Sharon Parker 5307 S. 104th E. Ave
Floyd Rodgers 10319 E. 23rd Pl.
Elaine Kinsky 2311 S. 102nd Ave
HT Kelary 2338 S. 103rd E. Ave
Karmen Reed 2335 S. 103rd E. Ave
Antonio Ilojo 2335 S. 103rd E. Ave
Elfreda/Juliette Young 2337 S. 103rd Ave. Tulsa 74129
Seymour Tannehill 2318 S. 103rd E. Ave

Kip Pouso Gz. 2312 S. 103rd Rd. E Ave.
Miguelle Diaz 10326 E. 23rd Pl.

Danny Delk 23215 S. 104th E Ave.

Janice McDowell - 2331 S. 104th E Ave.
Mark Benshoof 10332 E. 23rd Pl.
Judy Benshoof 10332 E. 23rd Pl.

Jen Johnston 10215 E. 24th St.
Stella McKay 2359 S. 104th E Ave

Harry J 2359 S. 104th E Ave
STOP THE REZONING OF MAYO SCHOOL

INCOG

NEIGHBORHOOD

WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR COMMERCIAL SPACE COULD BE USED FOR ANYTHING
We DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR OFFICE SPACE
WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR

[Handwritten addresses and signatures]

2357 5104th St. Ave Tulsa, OK
2477 810th E. Ave Tulsa, OK
10305 E. 27th St. Tulsa, OK
April Rainey 10139 E. 25th SA Tulsa, OK 74129

[Other handwritten addresses and signatures]
STOP THE REZONING OF MAYO SCHOOL

INCOG

NEIGHBORHOOD

WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR COMMERCIAL SPACE COULD BE USED FOR ANYTHING
WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR OFFICE SPACE
WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR

Name          Address

Chanelle Hunter 10325 E. 23rd Place Tulsa, OK 74129
Bernonia Simmons 10156 E. 24th Pl Tulsa, OK 74129
Margaret Kelty 10138 E. 24th Pl Tulsa, OK 74129
Mamie Trice 10121 E. 25th St Tulsa, OK 74129
Joyce Lane 10126 E. 25th St Tulsa, OK 74129
Janice Towler 10134 E. 25th St 74129

Misti Culley 10160 E. 25th St 74129

Ellen Allard 10310 E. 25th Place
Gracie Bennett 10310 E. 25th Place, Tulsa, OK 74129

Victor Perez 2506 S. 104th East Ave
Alex German 2505 S. 104th E. Ave

Melina Rios 2505 S. 104th E. Ave

Sheree Watson 2522 E. 104th Ave
Clyde Young 2526 S. 104th E. Ave

Jose M. Rodela 2538 S. 104th E. Ave
Cassandra Spears 2341 S. 104th E. Ave

Jose D. Trice 2720 S 104th

15:29
STOP THE REZONING OF MAYO SCHOOL

INCOG

NEIGHBORHOOD

WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR COMMERCIAL SPACE COULD BE USED FOR ANYTHING
We DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR OFFICE SPACE
WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR

Resa Cairns 2727 S. 10th St. E Ave
Sabrina Givens 2731 S. 104th E Ave
Bob Shippel 10153 E. 28th
Cathal Brantle 10143 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Amal Fafar 10139 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Beulah M. Limpric 10135 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Bradley Saffold 10111 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Alma Felecia 10108 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Jonathan Garris 10114 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Kelly Henderson 10124 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Bayla S. Pawley 10138 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Zvi Fireman 10147 E 28th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Lawrence Ferle 10144 E 28th St. Tulsa 74129
Chris Brown 10150 E 28th St. Tulsa 74129
Sharon Ann 10120 E 29th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Mark Hen 10120 E 29th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Teague Deuen 10126 E 29th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
Stacie Hayden 10133 E 29th St. Tulsa, OK 74129
STOP THE REZONING OF MAYO SCHOOL

INCOG

NEIGHBORHOOD

WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR COMMERCIAL SPACE COULD BE USED FOR ANYTHING
WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR OFFICE SPACE
WE DON'T WANT IT REZONED FOR

2-0L 10129 E 29th Street Tulsa 74129
George W. Hannenbaugh 10119 E 29th St. Tulsa 74129

10030 E 28th St. Tulsa, Ok 74129

Lea Knaeblh 10012 E. 28th Tulsa, Ok 74129

James Knaeblh 10012 E. 28th Tulsa, Ok 74129

Sabir Ziyad Bey 10005 E 28th Street Tulsa Ok 74129

Sim 10005 E 28th Tulsa Ok 74129

Deverick 2722 S 101 St E Ave Tulsa Ok 74129

Cedric Mitchell 2305 So 103rd E Ave Tulsa Ok 74129

WALTER mos 2305 So 103 E Ave Tulsa Ok 74129

Jacole Criner 2315 So 102nd E Ave Tulsa Ok 74129
AGENDA

Tulsa Metropolitan Area Planning Commission

WORK SESSION

175 East 2nd Street,
10th Floor
North Conference Room
City Hall
Wednesday, August 21, 2013 – 2:30 p.m.

1. Comprehensive Plan Housekeeping Amendments
2. Building Demolition and Surface Parking within the IDL (Inner Dispersal Loop) – draft ordinance/Dawn Warrick
3. 6th Street Infill Plan Amendment Work Items

If you require special accommodation pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, please notify INCOG (918) 584-7526